arXiv:1502.04732v2 [math.AP] 1 Oct 2015

Global Estimates for Generalized Forchheimer Flows of Slightly Compressible Fluids

Luan Hoang[a] and Thinh Kieu[b]

October 2, 2015

[a]*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Texas Tech University, Box 41042, Lubbock, TX 79409–1042, U. S. A.*
[b]*Department of Mathematics, University of North Georgia, Gainesville Campus, 3820 Mundy Mill Rd., Oakwood, GA 30566, U. S. A.*
Email addresses: `luan.hoang@ttu.edu, thinh.kieu@ung.edu`

Dedicated to Professor Duong Minh Duc with gratitude.

Abstract

This paper is focused on the generalized Forchheimer flows of slightly compressible fluids in porous media. They are reformulated as a degenerate parabolic equation for the pressure. The initial boundary value problem is studied with time-dependent Dirichlet boundary data. The estimates up to the boundary and for all time are derived for the L^∞-norm of the pressure, its gradient and time derivative. Large-time estimates are established to be independent of the initial data. Particularly, thanks to the special structure of the pressure's nonlinear equation, the global gradient estimates are obtained in a relatively simple way, avoiding complicated calculations and a prior requirement of Hölder estimates.

1 Introduction

In studies of fluid dynamics in porous media, Darcy's law is ubiquitously used. However this linear relation between the velocity and pressure gradient is not always valid. The deviation from Darcy's law is well-known when the Reynolds number increases [2, 16]. Such a deviation was even noticed in early works by Darcy [3] and Dupuit [6]. Nonlinear alternatives were formulated by Forchheimer [7, 8], and were studied extensively afterward in physics and engineering, see [2, 16, 17, 21, 26] and references therein. In contrast to the vast mathematical research of Darcy's flows, see e.g. [25], existing mathematical papers on Forchheimer flows are much fewer and came much later. Even rarer are the ones for compressible fluids. (See, e.g., [1, 9, 10] for more introduction to Forchheimer flows.) Generalized Forchheimer equations were proposed [1, 9–11, 13] in order to cover a general class of fluid flows in porous media formulated from experiments. In our previous paper [12], we derive interior estimates for generalized Forchheimer flows of slightly compressible fluids. In this article, we focus on the spatially global estimates, i.e., on the entire domain. Since the equation for pressure is of degenerate parabolic type, finding L^∞-bounds for its gradient, in general, is a difficult task and requires much work, see, for e.g., [5, 18, 19, 24]. We will show that the gradient estimates for the particular flows under the current study can be obtained in a relatively simple way thanks to their equations' specific structure.

In this paper, we consider the initial boundary value problem for the pressure in a bounded domain with time-dependent Dirichlet boundary data. Our goal is to obtain bounds for the pressure's gradient and time derivative in terms of initial and boundary data. Aiming at studying the long-term dynamics of the system, we also emphasize the bounds for large time. The standard technique from [14], see also [4,15], requires a Hölder estimate first, which, by itself, is not a small task. Moreover, the estimates obtained in [14] for degenerate equations depend on the initial value of the gradient. This will result in long-time estimates for the gradient that are dependent on the initial data. That means that the long-time dynamics cannot be reduced to a much smaller set, say, the global attractor (c.f. [20,23]). In this paper, we will demonstrate that these two setbacks can be overcome without overcomplicated calculations. First, we extend the techniques for parabolic equations in [14] to our degenerate one in a suitable way. Such extension is possible due to the equation's special structure. Second, by doing analysis on the entire domain, we avoid the spatially local consideration, and hence, the requirement for the Hölder estimates. Finally, localizing the estimates in time and utilizing uniform Gronwall-type inequalities remove the estimates' dependence on the initial data.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall basic facts about generalized Forchheimer equations, and prove a global embedding of Ladyzhenskaya-Uraltseva type. It is different from the versions in [12,14] which are localizations at an interior or a boundary point. This will contribute to simpler proofs for the global estimates in section 6. In section 3, we review some relevant estimates from our previous works [11,12] which will be used repeatedly. In section 4, we use De Giorgi's iteration to obtain (spatially) global estimates. Time-local inequality (4.2) is "quasi-homogeneous" in terms of $L^\alpha_{x,t}$-norm of the solution. This improves estimates in our previous works, and is similar to a recent improvement on interior estimates in [22]. Explicit estimates in terms of initial and boundary data are established in Theorem 4.4. Section 5 is devoted to estimating the maximum of the gradient's modulus on the boundary. Unlike the results in [14], we are able to localize the estimate in time in Theorem 5.2, hence, it is independent of the initial gradient. This is essential to finding the gradient bounds for large time, by combining it with certain uniform Gronwall-type estimates. This is demonstrated in Corollary 5.3. In section 6, we establish global L^s-estimates for the gradient *for all* $s > 0$ and all positive time. This requires only moderate regularity for the initial data, say, L^α and $W^{1,2-a}$ with an appropriate $\alpha > 0$. Section 7 contains L^∞-estimates for the gradient. Thanks to the special form of the resulting equations (7.2) for the gradient, it is possible to apply the De Giorgi technique, see Theorem 7.1. Section 8 has the same results as section 7 but for the time derivative. The main estimates are in Theorems 8.2 and 8.3.

2 Preliminaries

Consider a fluid in a porous medium in space $\mathbb{R}^n$. For physics problem $n = 3$, but here we consider any $n \geq 2$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ be the spatial and time variables. The fluid flow has velocity $v(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, pressure $p(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}$ and density $\rho(x,t) \in [0,\infty)$.

The generalized Forchheimer equations studied in [1,9–11,13] are of the the form:

$$g(|v|)v = -\nabla p, \tag{2.1}$$

where $g(s) \geq 0$ is a function defined on $[0,\infty)$. When $g(s) = \alpha, \alpha + \beta s, \alpha + \beta s + \gamma s^2, \alpha + \gamma_m s^{m-1}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, m, \gamma_m$ are empirical constants, we have Darcy's law, Forchheimer's two-term, three-term and power laws, respectively.

In this paper, we study the case when the function g in (2.1) is a generalized polynomial with positive coefficients, that is,

$$g(s) = a_0 s^{\alpha_0} + a_1 s^{\alpha_1} + \ldots + a_N s^{\alpha_N} \quad \text{for} \quad s \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $N \geq 1$, the powers $\alpha_0 = 0 < \alpha_1 < \ldots < \alpha_N$ are fixed real numbers (not necessarily integers), the coefficients $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ are positive. This function $g(s)$ is referred to as the Forchheimer polynomial in equation (2.1).

From (2.1) one can solve v implicitly in terms of ∇p and derives a nonlinear version of Darcy's equation:

$$v = -K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p, \tag{2.3}$$

where the function $K : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is defined by

$$K(\xi) = \frac{1}{g(s(\xi))}, \text{ with } s = s(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ satisfying } sg(s) = \xi, \quad \text{for } \xi \geq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

In addition to (2.1), we have the continuity equation

$$\phi \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho v) = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where number $\phi \in (0,1)$ is the constant porosity. Also, for slightly compressible fluids, the equation of state is

$$\frac{d\rho}{dp} = \frac{\rho}{\kappa}, \quad \text{with } \kappa = const. > 0. \tag{2.6}$$

From (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6) one derives a scalar equation for the pressure:

$$\phi \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \kappa \nabla \cdot (K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p) + K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

On the right-hand side of (2.7), the constant κ is very large for most slightly compressible fluids in porous media [16], hence we neglect its second term and by scaling the time variable, we study the reduced equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p). \tag{2.8}$$

Note that this reduction is commonly used in engineering.

Our aim is to study the initial boundary value problem for equation (2.8) in a bounded domain. Here afterward U is a bounded, open, connected subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ with C^2 boundary $\Gamma = \partial U$. Throughout, the Forchheimer polynomial $g(s)$ in (2.2) is fixed. The following number is frequently used in our calculations:

$$a = \frac{\alpha_N}{1 + \alpha_N} \in (0,1). \tag{2.9}$$

The function $K(\xi)$ in (2.4) has the following properties (c.f. [1,9]): it is decreasing in ξ mapping $\xi \in [0,\infty)$ onto $(0, 1/a_0]$, and

$$\frac{d_1}{(1+\xi)^a} \leq K(\xi) \leq \frac{d_2}{(1+\xi)^a}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$d_3(\xi^{2-a} - 1) \leq K(\xi)\xi^2 \leq d_2 \xi^{2-a}, \tag{2.11}$$

$$-aK(\xi) \leq K'(\xi)\xi \leq 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where d_1, d_2, d_3 are positive constants depending on g. As in previous works, we use the function $H(\xi)$ defined by

$$H(\xi) = \int_0^{\xi^2} K(\sqrt{s})ds \quad \text{for } \xi \geq 0.$$

It satisfies $K(\xi)\xi^2 \leq H(\xi) \leq 2K(\xi)\xi^2$, thus, by (2.11),

$$d_3(\xi^{2-a} - 1) \leq H(\xi) \leq 2d_2\xi^{2-a}. \tag{2.13}$$

The following parabolic Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities are needed for our study. For each $T > 0$, denote $Q_T = U \times (0, T)$. We define a threshold exponent

$$\alpha_* = \frac{an}{2-a}. \tag{2.14}$$

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [12], Lemma 2.1)**.** *Assume*

$$\alpha \geq 2 \quad \textit{and} \quad \alpha > \alpha_*. \tag{2.15}$$

Let

$$p = \alpha\Big(1 + \frac{2-a}{n}\Big) - a. \tag{2.16}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{L^p(Q_T)} \leq C(1 + \delta T)^{1/p}[[u]], \tag{2.17}$$

where $\delta = 1$ *in general,* $\delta = 0$ *in case* u *vanishes on the boundary* ∂U*, and*

$$[[u]] = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{[0,T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\alpha(U)} + \Big(\int_0^T \int_U |u(x,t)|^{\alpha-2}|\nabla u(x,t)|^{2-a}dxdt\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}. \tag{2.18}$$

The next is a particular embedding with spatial weights from Lemma 2.4 of [13] (see inequality (2.28) with $m = 2$ there).

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [13], Lemma 2.4)**.** *Given* $W(x,t) > 0$ *on* Q_T*. Let* r *be a number that satisfies*

$$\frac{2n}{n+2} < r < 2. \tag{2.19}$$

Set

$$\varrho = \varrho(r) \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} 4(1 - 1/r^*). \tag{2.20}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{L^\varrho(Q_T)} \leq C[[u]]_{2,W;T}\Big\{\delta T^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} + \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in[0,T]} \Big(\int_U W(x,t)^{-\frac{r}{2-r}}\chi_{\operatorname{supp} u}(x,t)dx\Big)^{\frac{2-r}{\varrho r}}\Big\}, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\delta = 1$ *in general,* $\delta = 0$ *in case* u *vanishes on the boundary* ∂U*, and*

$$[[u]]_{2,W;T} = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{[0,T]} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(U)} + \Big(\int_0^T \int_U W(x,t)|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 dxdt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.22}$$

Above, supp$f$ denotes the support set of a function f, and χ_A denotes the characteristic functions of a set A.

The following embedding is a global version of Lemma 3.7 from [13] and Lemma 5.4 on page 93 in [14].

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose w is a function on $\bar U$ that satisfies $|\nabla w|\le M$ on Γ.*

Let $v=\max\{|\nabla w|^2-M^2,0\}$. For each $s\ge 1$, there exists a constant $C>0$ depending on s such that for any $k\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s+1}dx \le C\max|w-k|^2\int_U K(|\nabla w|)|\nabla^2 w|^2 v^{s-1}dx + CM^4\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}dx. \quad (2.23)$$

Proof. Let $I=\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s+1}dx$. Note that $v=0$ on Γ. First, we see that

$$I\le \int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s|\nabla w|^2dx = \sum_{i=1}^n\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s\partial_i w\partial_i(w-k)dx.$$

By integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= -\sum_{i=1}^n\int_U \partial_i(K(|\nabla w|)v^s\partial_i w)\cdot(w-k)dx\\
&= -\sum_{i,j=1}^n\int_U\Big(K'(|\nabla w|)\frac{\partial_i\partial_j w\partial_j w}{|\nabla w|}\Big)v^s\partial_i w\cdot(w-k)dx - \int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s\Delta w\cdot(w-k)dx\\
&\quad - s\sum_{i,j=1}^n\int_U K(|\nabla w|)\Big(v^{s-1}\partial_i\partial_j w\partial_j w\Big)\cdot\partial_i w\cdot(w-k)\chi_{\{v>0\}}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From this and (2.12), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\le a\int_U K(|\nabla w|)|\nabla^2 w|v^s|w-k|dx + \int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s|\Delta w||w-k|dx\\
&\quad + s\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}|\nabla^2 w||\nabla w|^2|w-k|\chi_{\{v>0\}}dx\\
&\le C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s|\nabla^2 w||w-k|dx + C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)|\nabla^2 w|v^{s-1}(v+M^2)|w-k|dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\le C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^s|\nabla^2 w||w-k|dx + CM^2\int_U K(|\nabla w|)|\nabla^2 w|v^{s-1}|w-k|dx\\
&= C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{\frac{s+1}{2}}\cdot|\nabla^2 w|v^{\frac{s-1}{2}}|w-k|dx + C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}|\nabla^2 w||w-k|\cdot M^2dx.
\end{aligned}$$

This last inequality and Cauchy's inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\le \int_U K(|\nabla w|)\Big(\frac{v^{s+1}}{2} + C|\nabla^2 w|^2v^{s-1}|w-k|^2\Big)dx + C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}(|\nabla^2 w|^2|w-k|^2+M^4)dx\\
&= \frac12 I + C\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}|\nabla^2 w|^2|w-k|^2dx + CM^4\int_U K(|\nabla w|)v^{s-1}dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain (2.23). □

The following is a generalization of the convergence of fast decay geometry sequences in Lemma 5.6, Chapter II of [14]. It will be used in the De Giorgi iterations.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [13], Lemma A.2). *Let $\{Y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of non-negative numbers satisfying*

$$Y_{i+1} \le \sum_{k=1}^{m} A_k B^i Y_i^{1+\mu_k}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots,$$

where $B > 1$, $A_k > 0$ and $\mu_k > 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Let $\mu = \min\{\mu_k : 1 \le k \le m\}$. If $Y_0 \le \min\{(m^{-1} A_k^{-1} B^{-\frac{1}{\mu}})^{1/\mu_k} : 1 \le k \le m\}$ then $\lim_{i\to\infty} Y_i = 0$.

3 Previous results

We study the following initial boundary value problem (IBVP) for $p(x,t)$:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial p}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p) & \text{in } U \times (0,\infty), \\ p(x,0) = p_0(x) & \text{in } U, \\ p(x,t) = \psi(x,t) & \text{on } \Gamma \times (0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

In order to deal with the non-homogeneous boundary condition, the data $\psi(x,t)$ with $x \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$ is extended to a function $\Psi(x,t)$ with $x \in \bar{U}$ and $t \ge 0$. Throughout, our results are stated in terms of Ψ instead of ψ. Nonetheless, corresponding results in terms of ψ can be retrieved as performed in [9]. The function Ψ is always assumed to have adequate regularities for all calculations in this paper.

Solutions. It is proved in section 3 of [11] that (3.1) possesses a weak solution $p(x,t)$ for all $t > 0$. It, in fact, has more regularity in spatial and time variables, see [4]. For the current study, we assume that solution $p(x,t)$ has sufficient regularities both in x and t variables such that our calculations hereafter can be performed legitimately. Specifically, we assume $p, \nabla p, p_t \in C(\bar{U} \times (0,\infty))$, the Hessian matrix of second spatial derivatives $\nabla^2 p \in C(U \times (0,\infty))$, and the function $t \to p(\cdot,t)$ is continuous from $[0,\infty)$ to $W^{1,2-a}(U) \cap L^{\alpha}(U)$, for an appropriate $\alpha > 0$ which will be determined for each type of estimate. Some particular estimates may require much less regularity such as the L^∞-estimates in section 4. The obtained estimates also hold without the C^2-requirement by using an approximation process, see [14]. However, to avoid further complications, we do not perform such approximation here.

Generic constants notation. Hereafter, the symbols C and C' are used to denote positive numbers independent of the initial and boundary data, and the time variables t, T_0, T; it may depend on many parameters, namely, exponents and coefficients of polynomial g, the spatial dimension n and domain U, other involved exponents α, s, etc. in calculations. The values of C and C' may vary from place to place, even on the same line.

Functions and Norms. Throughout, whenever unspecified, the norm Lebesgue or Sobolev norms mean for the whole domain U. Also, for a function $f(x,t)$, we use $t(t)$ to denote the functions $t \to f(\cdot,t)$. For example, $\|p(t)\|_{L^2} = \|p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2(U)}$, $\max_{[0,T]} \|p(t)\|_{L^\infty} = \max_{t\in[0,T]} \|p(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}$.

We recall some relevant results from [11]. For $\alpha \ge 1$, we define

$$A(\alpha,t) = \Big[\int_U |\nabla\Psi(x,t)|^{\frac{\alpha(2-a)}{2}} dx\Big]^{\frac{2(\alpha-a)}{\alpha(2-a)}} + \Big[\int_U |\Psi_t(x,t)|^{\alpha} dx\Big]^{\frac{\alpha-a}{\alpha(1-a)}} \tag{3.2}$$

for $t \ge 0$, and

$$A(\alpha) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} A(\alpha,t) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\alpha) = \limsup_{t\to\infty} [A'(\alpha,t)]^{-}. \tag{3.3}$$

Also, define for $\alpha > 0$ the number

$$\widehat{\alpha} = \max\big\{\alpha, 2, \alpha_*\big\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Whenever $\beta(\alpha)$ is in use, it is understood that the function $t \to A(\alpha, t)$ belongs to $C^1((0,\infty))$.

For a function $f : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, we denote by $Envf$ a continuous and increasing function $F : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(t) \geq f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Let $p(x,t)$ be a solution to IBVP (3.1), and denote $\bar{p} = p - \Psi$.

Theorem 3.1 (cf. [11], Theorem 4.3)**.** *Let $\alpha > 0$.*

(i) *For all $t \geq 0$,*

$$\int_U |\bar{p}(x,t)|^\alpha dx \leq C\Big(1 + \int_U |\bar{p}(x,0)|^{\widehat{\alpha}} dx + [EnvA(\widehat{\alpha},t)]^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{\widehat{\alpha}-a}}\Big). \tag{3.5}$$

(ii) *If $A(\widehat{\alpha}) < \infty$ then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_U |\bar{p}(x,t)|^\alpha dx \leq C\big(1 + A(\widehat{\alpha})^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{\widehat{\alpha}-a}}\big). \tag{3.6}$$

(iii) *If $\beta(\widehat{\alpha}) < \infty$ then there is $T > 0$ such that*

$$\int_U |\bar{p}(x,t)|^\alpha dx \leq C\big(1 + \beta(\widehat{\alpha})^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{\widehat{\alpha}-2a}} + A(\widehat{\alpha},t)^{\frac{\widehat{\alpha}}{\widehat{\alpha}-a}}\big) \quad \textit{for all } t \geq T. \tag{3.7}$$

For gradient and time derivative estimates, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(t) &= \int_U |\nabla\Psi(x,t)|^2 dx + \Big[\int_U |\Psi_t(x,t)|^{r_0} dx\Big]^{\frac{2-a}{r_0(1-a)}} + \Big[\int_U |\Psi_t(x,t)|^{r_0} dx\Big]^{\frac{1}{r_0}},\\ G_2(t) &= \int_U |\nabla\Psi_t(x,t)|^2 dx + \int_U |\Psi_t(x,t)|^2 dx, \quad G_3(t) = G_1(t) + G_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

with $r_0 = \frac{n(2-a)}{(2-a)(n+1)-n}$. For $t \geq 0$, recall from (4.20) in [11] and from (3.25) in [9] that

$$\int_0^t \int_U H(|\nabla p|) dx d\tau \leq C\int_U \bar{p}^2(x,0) dx + C\int_0^t G_1(\tau) d\tau, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_U H(|\nabla p|)(x,t) dx + \int_0^t \int_U |\bar{p}_t(x,\tau)|^2 dx d\tau \leq \int_U \big[H(|\nabla p(x,0)|) + \bar{p}^2(x,0)\big] dx + C\int_0^t G_3(\tau) d\tau. \tag{3.9}$$

Below are estimates for gradient and time derivative when time is large.

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [12], Corollary 3.3)**.** *Let $\alpha \geq \widehat{2}$.*

(i) *For $t \geq 1$,*

$$\int_{t-1}^t \int_U H(|\nabla p(x,\tau)|) dx d\tau \leq C\Big(1 + \int_U |\bar{p}_0(x)|^\alpha dx + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}} + \int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau) d\tau\Big), \tag{3.10}$$

$$\int_{t-1/2}^t \int_U \bar{p}_t^2(x,\tau) dx d\tau \leq C\Big(1 + \int_U |\bar{p}_0(x)|^\alpha dx + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}} + \int_{t-1}^t G_3(\tau) d\tau\Big). \tag{3.11}$$

(ii) *If $A(\alpha) < \infty$ then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-1}^t \int_U H(|\nabla p(x,\tau)|) dx d\tau \leq C\Big(1 + A(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}} + \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau) d\tau\Big), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1/2}^{t}\int_U \bar p_t^2(x,\tau)dxd\tau \le C\Big(1+A(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^{t}G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big). \tag{3.13}$$

(iii) *If $\beta(\alpha)<\infty$ then there is $T>0$ such that one has for all $t\ge T$ that*

$$\int_{t-1}^{t}\int_U H(|\nabla p(x,\tau)|)dxd\tau \le C\Big(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2a}}+A(\alpha,t-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\int_{t-1}^{t}G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\int_{t-1/2}^{t}\int_U \bar p_t^2(x,\tau)dxd\tau \le C\Big(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2a}}+A(\alpha,t-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\int_{t-1}^{t}G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big). \tag{3.15}$$

4 L^∞-estimates

In this section we estimate the L^∞-norm of the pressure. We will focus on estimates for $\bar p$ instead of p. First, we give a local (in time) L^∞-estimate which does not depend on the initial data's L^∞-norm.

Let $p(x,t)$ be a solution to IBVP (3.1) with given data $p_0(x)$ and $\psi(x,t)$. Let $\bar p = p-\Psi$, then it satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \bar p}{\partial t} = \nabla\cdot(K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p)-\Psi_t & \text{in } U\times(0,\infty),\\ \bar p(x,t)=0 & \text{on } \Gamma\times(0,\infty). \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let α satisfy (2.15). If $T_0\ge 0$, $T>0$ and $\theta\in(0,1)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]}\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C\Big\{&(\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}+T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha(1+\delta_1)}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_1}{1+\delta_1}}\\
&+(\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{(\alpha-a)\delta_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}}+T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_2)}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_3}{1+\delta_2}}\\
&+(T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha}}\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}+T^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))})^{\frac{1}{1+\delta_3}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_2}{1+\delta_3}}\\
&+(T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha}}\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}+T^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))})^{\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_4}{1+\delta_4}}\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where $r_1=\alpha(1+(2-a)/n)-a$, numbers p_1 and q_1 are conjugates of each other that satisfy

$$1\le p_1<r_1/\alpha, \text{ or equivalently, } 1+\frac{1}{a(1/\alpha_*-1/\alpha)}<q_1=\frac{p_1}{p_1-1}\le\infty,$$

and δ_1, δ_2, δ_3, δ_4 are positive numbers defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_1 = 1-\alpha/r_1, && \delta_2=\alpha/(\alpha-a)-\alpha/r_1,\\ &\delta_3=1/p_1-\alpha/r_1, && \delta_4=\alpha/((\alpha-a)p_1)-\alpha/r_1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $T_0=0$. Let $k\ge 0$, define $\bar p^{(k)}=\max\{\bar p-k,0\}$, and denote by χ_k the characteristic function on the set supp $\bar p^{(k)}$ - the support set of $\bar p^{(k)}$.

Let $\zeta=\zeta(t)$ be a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $0\le\zeta\le 1$ and $\zeta_t\ge 0$ on $\mathbb{R}$, and $\zeta=0$ on $(-\infty,0]$.

Multiplying the partial differential equation (PDE) in (3.1) by $|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta$, integrating over U and using integration by parts, we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_U \frac{\partial|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^\alpha}{\partial t}\zeta dx + (\alpha-1)\int_U K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla p\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)})|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx = -\int_U \Psi_t|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta dx.$$

For the second integral on the left-hand side,

$$K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla p\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)})|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2} = K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla\bar{p}\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)})|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2} + K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla\Psi\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)})|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}.$$

Note that $\nabla\bar{p}\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)} = |\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2$ and $|\nabla\Psi\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}| \le \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla p\cdot\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)})|p^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2} \ge K(|\nabla p|)|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}(|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2} - \frac{1}{2}K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\Psi|^2|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\alpha}\int_U \frac{\partial|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^\alpha}{\partial t}\zeta dx + \frac{\alpha-1}{2}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx\\
&\le \frac{\alpha-1}{2}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\Psi|^2|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx + \int_U |\Psi_t||\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta dx\\
&\le C\int_U |\nabla\Psi|^2|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx + \int_U |\Psi_t||\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The last inequality uses the fact that $K(\xi)$ is bounded.

For the second integral on the left-hand side again, we have from (2.10) and triangle inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2 &\ge \frac{d_1|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2}{(1+|\nabla p|)^a} \ge \frac{d_1|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2}{(1+|\nabla\bar{p}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^a} = \frac{d_1|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2}{(1+|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^a}\\
&\ge \frac{(d_1/2)(|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^2 - d_1|\nabla\Psi|^2}{(1+|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^a}\\
&\ge \frac{d_1}{2}\frac{(|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^2}{(1+|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^a} - d_1|\nabla\Psi|^{2-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is elementary to show for $\xi \ge 0$ that

$$\frac{\xi^2}{(1+\xi)^a} \ge \frac{1}{2^a}(\xi^{2-a}-1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^2 &\ge \frac{d_1}{2^{a+1}}((|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|+|\nabla\Psi|)^{2-a}-1) - d_1|\nabla\Psi|^{2-a}\\
&\ge \frac{d_1}{2^{a+1}}|\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a} - \frac{d_1}{2^{a+1}} - d_1|\nabla\Psi|^{2-a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows this, (4.4), and Young's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_U \frac{\partial|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^\alpha}{\partial t}\zeta dx + \int_U |\nabla\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx\\
&\le C\int_U (1+|\nabla\Psi|^{2-a}+|\nabla\Psi|^2)|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx + C\int_U |\Psi_t||\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta dx\\
&\le C\int_U (1+|\nabla\Psi|^2)|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx + C\int_U |\Psi_t||\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the product rule to the first term, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx+\int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx$$
$$\le C\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta_t dx + C\int_U ((1+|\nabla\Psi|^2)|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta+|\Psi_t||\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-1}\zeta)dx.$$

Applying Young's inequality to the integrand of the last integral yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx+\int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dx\le C\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta_t dx$$
$$+\varepsilon\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha/2}\int_U (1+|\nabla\Psi|^{\alpha})\chi_k\zeta dxdt + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\int_U |\Psi_t|^{\alpha}\chi_k\zeta dxdt.$$

Integrating form 0 to t for $t\in[0,T]$ and taking the supremum give

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx+\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dxdt\le C\int_0^T\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta_t dxdt$$
$$+\varepsilon T\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha/2}\int_0^T\int_U (1+|\nabla\Psi|^{\alpha})\chi_k\zeta dxdt + C\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}\int_0^T\int_U |\Psi_t|^{\alpha}\chi_k\zeta dxdt.$$

Selecting $\varepsilon=1/(2T)$, we obtain

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx+\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dxdt\le C\int_0^T\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta_t dxdt$$
$$+CT^{\alpha/2-1}\int_0^T\int_U \chi_k\zeta dxdt + CT^{\alpha/2-1}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla\Psi|^{\alpha}\chi_k\zeta dxdt + CT^{\alpha-1}\int_0^T\int_U |\Psi_t|^{\alpha}\chi_k\zeta dxdt.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to the last two integrals gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta dx+\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k)}|^{2-a}|\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha-2}\zeta dxdt\le C\int_0^T\int_U |\bar{p}^{(k)}|^{\alpha}\zeta_t dxdt\\ &+CT^{\alpha/2-1}\int_0^T\int_U \chi_k\zeta dxdt \\ &+C(T^{\alpha/2-1}\||\nabla\Psi|^{\alpha}\|_{L^{q_1}(U\times(0,T))}+T^{\alpha-1}\||\Psi_t|^{\alpha}\|_{L^{q_1}(U\times(0,T))})\Big(\int_0^T\int_U \chi_k\zeta dxdt\Big)^{1/p_1}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Let $M_0>0$ be fixed which will be determined later. For $i\ge 0$, define

$$k_i=M_0(1-2^{-i}),\quad t_i=\theta T(1-2^{-i}).\tag{4.6}$$

Then $t_0=0<t_1<\ldots<\theta T$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty}t_i=\theta T$; $k_0=0<k_1<k_2<\ldots$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty}k_i=M_0$.

For $i,j\ge 0$, we denote

$$\mathcal{Q}_i=U\times(t_i,T)\quad\text{and}\quad A_{i,j}=\{(x,t)\in\mathcal{Q}_j:p(x,t)>k_i\},\quad A_i=A_{i,i}.\tag{4.7}$$

For each i, we use a cut-off function $\zeta_i(t)$ with $\zeta_i\equiv 1$ in $[t_{i+1},T]$ and $\zeta_i\equiv 0$ on $[0,t_i]$, and

$$|(\zeta_i)_t|\le\frac{C}{t_{i+1}-t_i}=\frac{C2^{i+1}}{\theta T}.\tag{4.8}$$

for some $C > 0$. Applying (4.5) with $k = k_{i+1}$ and $\zeta = \zeta_i$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{[0,T]} \int_U |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^\alpha \zeta_i dx + \int_0^T \int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^{2-a} |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^{\alpha-2} \zeta_i dxdt \\
&\le \int_0^T \int_U |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^\alpha \zeta_{it} dxdt + C T^{\alpha/2-1} \int_0^T \int_U \chi_{k_{i+1}} \zeta_i dxdt \\
&\quad + C(T^{\alpha/2-1} \|\nabla \Psi\|^\alpha_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,T))} + T^{\alpha-1} \|\Psi_t\|^\alpha_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,T))}) \Big(\int_0^T \int_U \chi_{k_{i+1}} \zeta_i dxdt \Big)^{1/p_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Define

$$F_i \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} \sup_{[t_{i+1},T]} \int_U |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^\alpha dx + \int_{t_i}^T \int_U |\nabla \bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^{2-a} |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^{\alpha-2} dxdt.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = T^{\alpha/2-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = T^{\alpha/2-1} \|\nabla \Psi\|^\alpha_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,T))} + T^{\alpha-1} \|\Psi_t\|^\alpha_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,T))}.$$

Then (4.9) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
F_i &\le \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_U |\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}|^\alpha \zeta_{it} dxdt + C\mathcal{E}_1 \int_{t_{i+1}}^T \int_U \chi_{k_{i+1}} dxdt + C\mathcal{E}_2 \Big(\int_{t_{i+1}}^T \int_U \chi_{k_{i+1}} dxdt \Big)^{1/p_1}. \\
&\le C 2^i (\theta T)^{-1} \|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_{i+1,i})} + C\mathcal{E}_1 |A_{i+1,i}| + C\mathcal{E}_2 |A_{i+1,i}|^{1/p_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Since $\|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \ge \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|_{L^\alpha(A_{i+1,i})} \ge (k_{i+1} - k_i) |A_{i+1,i}|^{1/\alpha}$, thus

$$|A_{i+1,i}| \le (k_{i+1} - k_i)^{-\alpha} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \le C 2^{\alpha i} M_0^{-\alpha} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_i)}. \tag{4.11}$$

This and (4.10) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
F_i &\le C 2^i (\theta T)^{-1} \|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_{i+1,i})} + C\mathcal{E}_1 2^{\alpha i} M_0^{-\alpha} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_i)} + C\mathcal{E}_2 2^{\alpha i/p_1} M_0^{-\alpha/p_1} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^{\alpha/p_1}_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \\
&\le C 2^{\alpha i} \Big\{ \Big((\theta T)^{-1} + \mathcal{E}_1 M_0^{-\alpha} \Big) \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(A_i)} + \mathcal{E}_2 M_0^{-\alpha/p_1} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^{\alpha/p_1}_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

Note that r_1 is the exponent defined in (2.16). By Lemma 2.1,

$$\|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|_{L^{r_1}(A_{i+1,i+1})} \le C(F_i^{1/\alpha} + F_i^{1/(\alpha-a)}). \tag{4.13}$$

Hölder's inequality gives

$$\|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|_{L^\alpha(A_{i+1,i+1})} \le \|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|_{L^{r_1}(A_{i+1,i+1})} |A_{i+1,i+1}|^{1/\alpha-1/r_1} \le \|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|_{L^{r_1}(A_{i+1,i+1})} |A_{i+1,i}|^{1/\alpha-1/r_1}. \tag{4.14}$$

Combining (4.14) with (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{p}^{(k_{i+1})}\|_{L^\alpha(A_{i+1,i+1})} &\le C B^i \Big\{ \Big((\theta T)^{-1} + \mathcal{E}_1 M_0^{-\alpha} \Big)^{1/\alpha} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|_{L^\alpha(A_i)} + \mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha} M_0^{-1/p_1} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^{1/p_1}_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \\
&\quad + \Big((\theta T)^{-1} + \mathcal{E}_1 M_0^{-\alpha} \Big)^{1/(\alpha-a)} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^{\alpha/(\alpha-a)}_{L^\alpha(A_i)} + \mathcal{E}_2^{1/(\alpha-a)} M_0^{-\alpha/((\alpha-a)p_1)} \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|^{\alpha/((\alpha-a)p_1)}_{L^\alpha(A_i)} \Big\} \\
&\quad \cdot M_0^{-1+\alpha/r_1} \|p^{(k_i)}\|^{1-\alpha/r_1}_{L^2(A_i)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $B = 2^\alpha$. Let $Y_i = \|\bar{p}^{(k_i)}\|_{L^\alpha(A_i)}$. Then

$$Y_{i+1} \le C B^i \Big(D_1 Y_i^{1+\delta_1} + D_2 Y_i^{1+\delta_2} + D_3 Y_i^{1+\delta_3} + D_4 Y_i^{1+\delta_4} \Big),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \big((\theta T)^{-1/\alpha} + \mathcal{E}_1^{1/\alpha} M_0^{-1}\big)\cdot M_0^{-1+\alpha/r_1} = (\theta T)^{-1} M_0^{-\delta_1} + T^{1/2-1/\alpha} M_0^{-1-\delta_1},\\
D_2 &= \mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha} M_0^{-1/p_1}\cdot M_0^{-1+\alpha/r_1} = \mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha} M_0^{-1/p_1-\delta_1},\\
D_3 &= \big((\theta T)^{-1/(\alpha-a)} + \mathcal{E}_1^{1/(\alpha-a)} M_0^{-\alpha/(\alpha-a)}\big)\cdot M_0^{-1+\alpha/r_1} = (\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-a}} M_0^{-\delta_1} + T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-a)}} M_0^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}-\delta_1},\\
D_4 &= \mathcal{E}_2^{1/(\alpha-a)} M_0^{-\alpha/((\alpha-a)p_1)}\cdot M_0^{-1+\alpha/r_1} = \mathcal{E}_2^{1/(\alpha-a)} M_0^{-\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)p_1}-\delta_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Take M_0 sufficiently large such that

$$Y_0 \le C\min\{D_1^{-1/\delta_1}, D_2^{-1/\delta_2}, D_3^{-1/\delta_3}, D_4^{-1/\delta_4}\}, \tag{4.15}$$

or equivalently,

$$D_j \le C Y_0^{-\delta_j}, \quad j=1,2,3,4. \tag{4.16}$$

Then by Lemma 2.4, $\lim_{i\to\infty} Y_i = 0$, consequently, $\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U |\bar p^{(M_0)}|^\alpha dxdt = 0$, that is

$$\bar p(x,t) \le M_0 \quad \text{in } U\times[\theta T, T].$$

Repeating the argument above for $-p$,$-\psi$ instead of p, ψ, we obtain

$$|\bar p(x,t)| \le M_0 \quad \text{in } U\times[\theta T, T]. \tag{4.17}$$

It remains to determine M_0. Since $Y_0 \le \|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}$, we have sufficient conditions for (4.16):

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\theta T)^{-1} M_0^{-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_1}, \quad T^{1/2-1/\alpha} M_0^{-1-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_1},\\
&(\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha-a}} M_0^{-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_3}, \quad T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-a)}} M_0^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_3},\\
&\mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha} M_0^{-1/p_1-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_2}, \quad \mathcal{E}_2^{1/(\alpha-a)} M_0^{-\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)p_1}-\delta_1} \le C\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{-\delta_4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Solving these inequalities gives

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0 \ge C\max\Big\{&(\theta T)^{-1/\delta_1}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))},\ T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(1+\delta_1)\alpha}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_1}{1+\delta_1}},\ (\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{(\alpha-a)\delta_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}},\\
&T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a))}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_3(\alpha-a)}{\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a)}},\ \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{1}{\alpha(1/p_1+\delta_1)}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_2}{1/p_1+\delta_1}},\ \mathcal{E}_2^{\frac{p_1}{\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a)p_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_4(\alpha-a)p_1}{\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a)p_1}}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

with an appropriate positive constant C. Choosing M_0 to be the right-hand side of (4.18) with the sum replacing the maximum, we obtain from (4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{[\theta T,T]}\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C\Big\{&(\theta T)^{-1/\delta_1}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))} + T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(1+\delta_1)\alpha}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,0+T))}^{\frac{\delta_1}{1+\delta_1}}\\
&+ (\theta T)^{-\frac{1}{(\alpha-a)\delta_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1}} + T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a))}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_3(\alpha-a)}{\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a)}}\\
&(\mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha})^{\frac{1}{1/p_1+\delta_1}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_2}{1/p_1+\delta_1}} + (\mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha/p_1+\delta_1(\alpha-a)}}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,T))}^{\frac{\delta_4(\alpha-a)p_1}{\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a)p_1}}\Big\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Rewriting the powers in (4.19), noticing that

$$\frac{1}{p_1}+\delta_1 = 1+\delta_3, \quad \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}+\delta_1 = 1+\delta_2, \quad \frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)p_1}+\delta_1 = 1+\delta_4,$$

and also

$$\mathcal{E}_2^{1/\alpha} \le C\big(T^{\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha}}\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))} + T^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}}\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}\big),$$

we obtain (4.2). The proof is complete. □

Remark 4.2. *In (4.2), the norm $\|\bar p\|_{L^\infty(U\times[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T])}$ is estimated by a sum of homogeneous terms in $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}$. Therefore it is appropriate for both small and large $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}$. This improves our previous interior versions in Theorem 4.1 of [12] and Proposition 3.2 of [13], where the bounds contain an additive constant, which make them more suitable for large value $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T)}$. For doubly nonlinear parabolic equations of p-Laplacian type, the interior estimate of this kind was obtained in [22] using Moser's iteration.*

To simplify our future estimates, we use the following weaker version of Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.3. *Let α, T_0, T, θ, r_1, p_1, q_1, δ_1, δ_2, δ_3, δ_4 be as in Theorem 4.1. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]}\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C\Big(1+(\theta T)^{-1/\delta_1}+T^{z_1}\Big)&\\ \cdot\Big(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}\Big)^{z_2}&\\ \cdot\Big(1+\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(T_0,T_0+T))}\Big)^{z_3},&\end{aligned}\tag{4.20}$$

where

$$z_1=\frac{\alpha-1}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)},\quad z_2=\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)},\quad z_3=\max\Big\{1,\frac{\delta_2}{1+\delta_3}\Big\}.\tag{4.21}$$

Proof. We apply Young's inequality in (4.2) to the terms involving $(\theta T)^{-1}$, T, $\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}}$, $\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}}$, $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha}$.

First, we note that $\delta_2>\delta_1\ge\delta_3$ and $\delta_2\ge\delta_4>\delta_3$.

- For the power of $(\theta T)^{-1}$, we have $1/\delta_1>1/((\alpha-a)\delta_1)$.
- The largest power of T is

$$z_1=\max\Big\{\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha(1+\delta_1)},\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_2)},\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha(1+\delta_3)},\frac{\alpha-1}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)}\Big\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\alpha-2}{2\alpha(1+\delta_1)}&<\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha+\delta_1(\alpha-a))}=\frac{\alpha-2}{2(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_2)}\le\frac{\alpha-1}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)},\\ \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha(1+\delta_3)}&=\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha(1/p_1+\delta_1)}<\frac{\alpha-1}{(\alpha-a)(\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)p_1}+\delta_1)}=\frac{\alpha-1}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence z_1 is as in (4.21).

- The largest power of $\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}}$ and $\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}}$ is

$$z_2=\max\Big\{\frac{1}{1+\delta_3},\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)}\Big\}.$$

Since $\frac{1}{1+\delta_3}=\frac{1}{1/p_1+\delta_1}<\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)(\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)p_1}+\delta_1)}=\frac{\alpha}{(\alpha-a)(1+\delta_4)}$, we have z_2 as in (4.21).

- The largest power of $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha}$ is

$$z_3=\Big\{1,\frac{\delta_1}{1+\delta_1},\frac{\delta_2}{1+\delta_3},\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1},\frac{\delta_3}{1+\delta_2},\frac{\delta_4}{1+\delta_4}\Big\}.$$

Note that $\frac{\delta_1}{1+\delta_1},\frac{\delta_3}{\delta_1},\frac{\delta_3}{1+\delta_2},\frac{\delta_4}{\delta_4+1}<1$. Hence z_3 is as in (4.21). Therefore, we obtain (4.20) from (4.2). ◻

We then derive the L^∞-estimates in terms of the problem's data.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume α satisfies* (2.15)*. Let q_1, δ_1 be defined as in Theorem 4.1, and z_1, z_2, z_3 be defined as in Corollary 4.3.*

(i) *If $0 < t \le 3$ then*

$$\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le Ct^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}+[EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}. \tag{4.22}$$

If $t \ge 1$ then

$$\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}+[EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}. \tag{4.23}$$

(ii) *If $A(\alpha) < \infty$ then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty(U)} \|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+A(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}. \tag{4.24}$$

(iii) *If $\beta(\alpha) < \infty$ then there is $T > 0$ such that*

$$\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-1,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2} \tag{4.25}$$

for all $t \ge T$.

Proof. (i) Note that $\alpha = \widehat{\alpha}$. Let $t \in (0,3]$. Applying (4.20) for $T = t$ and $\theta = 1/2$, we have

$$\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+t^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}})(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}(1+\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,t))})^{z_3}. \tag{4.26}$$

Using (3.5) to estimate $\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(0,t))}$ in (4.26), we obtain (4.22).

For $t \ge 1$, applying (4.20) with $T_0 = t-1$, $T = 1$ and $\theta = 1/2$ we obtain

$$\|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}(1+\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_3}. \tag{4.27}$$

Again using (3.5) with noticing that the function $EnvA(\alpha,t)$ increasing in t, then we obtain (4.23) from (4.27).

(ii) From (4.27) we have

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|\bar p(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2} \cdot (1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\bar p\|_{L^\alpha(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_3}.$$

By (3.6),

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\bar p\|^\alpha_{L^\alpha(U\times(t-1,t))} \le \limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_U |\bar p(x,t)|^\alpha dx \le C(1+A(\alpha))^{\alpha/(\alpha-a)}. \tag{4.28}$$

Thus (4.24) follows.

(iii) Using (3.7) we have for large t that

$$\int_{t-1}^t\int_U |\bar p(x,\tau)|^\alpha dx d\tau \le C\Big(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2a}}+\int_{t-1}^t A(\alpha,\tau)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}d\tau\Big). \tag{4.29}$$

Therefore, (4.25) follows from (4.27) and (4.29). □

5 Gradient estimates on the boundary

In this section, we estimate the maximum of $|\nabla p|$ on the boundary $\Gamma \times (0,\infty)$. It will be used in estimates of L^s-norms for the gradient in section 6 and its L^∞-norm in section 7. We extend Ladyzhenskaya-Uraltseva's technique [14] in order to have better estimates for large time.

We rewrite the PDE in (3.1) for p in the non-divergence form as

$$p_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{ij} p_{x_i x_j} = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \mathcal{A}_{ij}(x,t) \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} K(|\nabla p(x,t)|)\delta_{ij} + \frac{K'(|\nabla p(x,t)|)}{|\nabla p(x,t)|} p_{x_i}(x,t) p_{x_j}(x,t), \quad i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n.$$

Thanks to (2.10)–(2.12) we find that

$$|\mathcal{A}_{ij}| \le (1+a)K(|\nabla p|),$$

$$(1-a)K(|\nabla p|)|y|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{ij} y_i y_j \le K(|\nabla p|)|y|^2, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

By (2.10), we have more explicit relations:

$$|\mathcal{A}_{ij}| \le (1+a)d_2(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}, \tag{5.2}$$

$$(1-a)d_1(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}|y|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_{ij} y_i y_j \le d_2(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}|y|^2, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.3}$$

We will establish boundary estimates in case the boundary is flat first. For general boundary, we will flatten it out and hence transform equation (5.1) to a different, but similar, one. To prepare for this transformation, we consider a more general PDE:

$$\mathcal{L}p \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} p_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij} p_{x_i x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{b}_i p_{x_i} = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}(x,t)$, $\tilde{b}_i = \tilde{b}_i(x,t)$ for $i,j = 1,2,\ldots,n$.

Define for $\xi \ge 0$ the function

$$\tilde{K}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(1+\xi)^a}.$$

Similar to (5.2) and (5.3), we assume that $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}$ satisfy

$$(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}|^2)^{1/2} \le c_1 \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|), \tag{5.5}$$

$$c_2 \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|y|^2 \le \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij} y_i y_j \le c_3 \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|y|^2, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{5.6}$$

where c_1, c_2, c_3 are positive constants. In addition, we also assume that

$$|\tilde{b}(x,t)| \le c_4 \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|) \text{ with } \tilde{b} = (\tilde{b}_1, \ldots, \tilde{b}_n). \tag{5.7}$$

for some positive constant c_4. Note, particularly when $y = e_k$, a unit vector in the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$, we have from (5.6) that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{kk} \geq c_2\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|), \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \tag{5.8}$$

Denote the ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin with radius R by B_R, and the upper half ball by B_R^+, i.e., $B_R^+ = B_R \cap \{x = (x', x_n) : x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},\ x_n > 0\}$. Also, denote $\Gamma_R = \partial B_R^+ \cap \{x_n = 0\}$-the flat portion of the boundary of B_R^+.

We start with estimates on a flat boundary.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $T > 0$ and $R > 0$. Let $p(x,t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]) \cap C^{2,1}(B_R^+ \times (0,T])$ be a solution of (5.4) in $B_R^+ \times (0,T]$, with $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}$ and $\tilde{b}_i$ satisfying (5.5)–(5.7) in $\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\Gamma_{R/2}\times[\theta T,T]} |\nabla p(x,t)| \leq C(1+R^{-2})e^{C'R}(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0} \exp\big(C' \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[\theta T/2,T]} |\bar{p}|\big) \\ \cdot (1 + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} |\Psi_t|^{\mu_0} + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} |\nabla^2\Psi|),\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

for any $\theta \in (0,1)$, where C, C' are positive numbers independent of R, T, θ, and

$$\mu_0 = \frac{2}{2-a}. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. (a) Let $v = -1 + e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}$ with the constant $\kappa_0 > 0$ chosen later. Then

$$v = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_R^+ \cap \{x_n = 0\}. \tag{5.11}$$

For $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we have

$$v_t = \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\bar{p}_t, \quad v_{x_i} = \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\bar{p}_{x_i}, \quad v_{x_ix_j} = \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\bar{p}_{x_ix_j} + \kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\bar{p}_{x_i}\bar{p}_{x_j}. \tag{5.12}$$

Substituting (5.12) into (5.4) gives

$$\kappa_0^{-1}e^{-\kappa_0\bar{p}}v_t + \Psi_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}\Big\{\kappa_0^{-1}e^{-\kappa_0\bar{p}}v_{x_ix_j} + \Psi_{x_ix_j} - \kappa_0\bar{p}_{x_i}\bar{p}_{x_j}\Big\} + \tilde{b}\cdot(\kappa_0^{-1}e^{-\kappa_0\bar{p}}\nabla v + \nabla\Psi) = 0.$$

Multiplying by $\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}$, it shows that $v(x,t)$ solves the equation

$$v_t - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}v_{x_ix_j} + \tilde{b}\cdot\nabla v = -\sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}\kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\bar{p}_{x_i}\bar{p}_{x_j} + \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}\Psi_{x_ix_j} - \Psi_t - \tilde{b}\cdot\nabla\Psi).$$

Thus, by (5.6) and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\mathcal{L}v \leq -c_2\kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}|^2 + \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\Big[(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}|^2)^{1/2}(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |\Psi_{x_ix_j}|^2)^{1/2} + |\tilde{b}||\nabla\Psi| + |\Psi_t|\Big].$$

Using inequality $|\nabla\bar{p}|^2 = |\nabla p - \nabla\Psi|^2 \geq |\nabla p|^2/2 - |\nabla\Psi|^2$, and inequalities (5.5), (5.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}v \leq -\frac{c_2}{2}\kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2 + c_2\kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ + \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\Big[\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)(c_1|\nabla^2\Psi| + c_4|\nabla\Psi|) + |\Psi_t|\Big].\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$M_1 = \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} (c_1|\nabla^2\Psi| + c_4|\nabla\Psi|), \quad M_2 = \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} |\Psi_t|, \quad M_3 = c_2 \max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]} |\nabla\Psi|^2.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}v \le -\frac{c_2}{2}\kappa_0^2 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2 + \kappa_0(M_3\kappa_0 + M_1)e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|) + \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}M_2. \tag{5.13}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We write $M_2 = \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)\cdot M_2(1+|\nabla p|)^a$ and estimate

$$M_2(1+|\nabla p|)^a \le M_2(1+|\nabla p|^a) \le M_2 + \varepsilon^{-a/(2-a)}M_2^{2/(2-a)} + \varepsilon|\nabla p|^2.$$

From this and (5.13), we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}v \le &-\Big(\frac{c_2}{2}\kappa_0 - \varepsilon\Big)\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2\\ &+ \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)(\kappa_0 M_3 + M_1 + M_2 + \varepsilon^{-a/(2-a)}M_2^{2/(2-a)}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

(b) We localize the above calculations. Let $\zeta = \zeta(x,t) \in [0,1]$ be a cut-off function on $B_R\times(0,T)$ with $\zeta = 0$ on $(B_R\times[\theta T/2, T]) \cup (\partial B_R\times[0,T])$, and $\zeta(x,t) = 1$ on $B_{R/2}\times(\theta T, T)$, and satisfy

$$|\nabla\zeta| \le \frac{C_0}{R}, \quad |\nabla^2\zeta| \le \frac{C_0}{R^2}, \quad |\zeta_t| \le \frac{C_0}{\theta T},$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is independent of R, θ, and T. Denote by $\chi = \chi(t)$ the characteristic function of $[\theta T/T, T]$.

Let $w = v\zeta^2$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}w = \zeta^2\mathcal{L}v + v\mathcal{L}(\zeta^2) - 2\zeta(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\nabla v)\cdot\nabla\zeta. \tag{5.15}$$

For the last term, by (5.5) and (5.12):

$$\begin{aligned}|2\zeta(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\nabla v)\cdot\nabla\zeta| &\le 2c_1\zeta\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla v||\nabla\zeta| \le C_1\zeta\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)|\nabla\bar{p}|\\ &\le \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)\big[C_1\zeta|\nabla p|\chi + C_1\zeta|\nabla\Psi|\big],\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = 2c_1$. Thus

$$|2\zeta(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}\nabla v)\cdot\nabla\zeta| \le \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)\big(\varepsilon\zeta^2|\nabla p|^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}C_1^2\chi + C_1\zeta|\nabla\Psi|\big). \tag{5.16}$$

For the second term on the right-hand side of (5.15),

$$|v\mathcal{L}(\zeta^2)| \le |v|2\zeta|\zeta_t| + |v|\Big(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ij}||(\zeta^2)_{x_ix_j}| + 2\zeta|\tilde{b}||\nabla\zeta|\Big) \le |v|2\zeta|\zeta_t| + C_2|v|\chi\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|), \tag{5.17}$$

where $C_2 = C_3(1+R^{-2})$, with $C_3 > 0$ independent of R, θ, T. Treating the term $2|v|\zeta|\zeta_t|$ in (5.17) the same way as we did for M_2 in (5.13):

$$2|v|\zeta|\zeta_t| \le C_4|v|\zeta \le C_4|v|\zeta\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)(1+|\nabla p|^a) \le \tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)(C_4|v|\zeta + C_4|v|\zeta|\nabla p|^a),$$

where $C_4 = 2C_0(\theta T)^{-1}$. Note that

$$C_4|v|\zeta|\nabla p|^a = C_4|v|\zeta^{1-a}[\varepsilon\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}}]^{-a/2}\cdot[\varepsilon^{1/2}\kappa_0^{1/2}e^{\kappa_0\bar{p}/2}\zeta|\nabla p|]^a.$$

Applying Young's inequality with power $2/(2-a)$ and $2/a$ yields

$$2|v|\zeta|\zeta_t| \le \tilde K(|\nabla p|)\Big[C_4|v|\zeta+(C_4|v|\zeta^{1-a})^{2/(2-a)}(\varepsilon\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar p})^{-a/(2-a)}\Big]+\varepsilon\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar p}\tilde K(|\nabla p|)\zeta^2|\nabla p|^2. \tag{5.18}$$

It follows from (5.17) and (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}|v|\mathcal{L}(\zeta^2) &\le \varepsilon\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar p}\tilde K(|\nabla p|)\zeta^2|\nabla p|^2\\ &\quad + \tilde K(|\nabla p|)\Big[C_4|v|\zeta + (C_4|v|\zeta^{1-a})^{2/(2-a)}(\varepsilon\kappa_0)^{-a/(2-a)}e^{\kappa_0|\bar p|a/(2-a)} + C_2|v|\chi\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

Using (5.14), (5.19) and (5.16) in (5.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}w &\le -\zeta^2\Big(\frac{c_2}{2}\kappa_0 - 3\varepsilon\Big)\kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar p}\tilde K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2\\ &\quad + \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0\bar p}\tilde K(|\nabla p|)\Big[\zeta^2(\kappa_0 M_3 + M_1 + M_2 + M_2^{2/(2-a)}\varepsilon^{-a/(2-a)}) + C_1^2\varepsilon^{-1}\chi + C_1\zeta|\nabla\Psi|\Big]\\ &\quad + \tilde K(|\nabla p|)[C_2\chi|v| + C_4|v|\chi + (C_4|v|\chi)^{2/(2-a)}(\varepsilon\kappa_0)^{-a/(2-a)}e^{\kappa_0|\bar p|a/(2-a)}].\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

Choose $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\kappa_0 = 8/c_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}w &\le \kappa_0\chi e^{\kappa_0|\bar p|}\tilde K(|\nabla p|)\Big[\kappa_0 M_3 + M_1 + M_2 + M_2^{2/(2-a)} + C_1^2 + C_1|\nabla\Psi|\Big]\\ &\quad + \tilde K(|\nabla p|)[C_2\chi|v| + C_4|v|\chi + (C_4|v|\chi)^{2/(2-a)}\kappa_0^{-a/(2-a)}e^{\kappa_0|\bar p|a/(2-a)}].\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Let $M_4 = \max_{\bar B_R^+\times[\theta T/2,T]}|\bar p|$. Note that $\chi e^{\kappa_0|\bar p|}, \chi|v| \le e^{\kappa_0 M_4}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}M_5 &= \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0 M_4}(\kappa_0 M_3 + M_1 + c_0 M_2 + M_2^{2/(2-a)} + C_1^2 + C_1 \max_{\bar B_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla\Psi|)\\ &\qquad + e^{\kappa_0 M_4(2+a)/(2-a)}(1+\kappa_0^{-a/(2-a)})(C_2 + C_4 + C_4^{\frac{2}{2-a}}).\end{aligned}$$

We obtain $\mathcal{L}w \le M_5\tilde K(|\nabla p|)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}M_5 &\le \kappa_0 e^{\kappa_0 M_4}(\kappa_0 M_3 + M_1 + c_0 M_2 + M_2^{2/(2-a)} + C_1^2 + C_1 \max_{\bar B_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla\Psi|)\\ &\qquad + e^{\kappa_0 M_4(2+a)/(2-a)}(1+\kappa_0^{-a/(2-a)})(1+C_2)2(1+C_4^{\frac{2}{2-a}}) \le M_6,\end{aligned}$$

where M_6 is explicitly defined by

$$M_6 = C(1+R^{-2})e^{\kappa_0 M_4(2+a)/(2-a)}(1+(\theta T)^{-\frac{2}{2-a}})(1+\max_{\bar B_R^+\times[0,T]}|\Psi_t|^{\frac{2}{2-a}}+\max_{\bar B_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla\Psi|^2+\max_{\bar B_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla^2\Psi|), \tag{5.22}$$

with $C > 0$ independent of R, T, θ. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}w \le M_6\tilde K(|\nabla p|). \tag{5.23}$$

(c) Let $\tilde w = w + \lambda e^{-\mu x_n}$, for $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}\tilde w = \mathcal{L}w + \lambda\mathcal{L}(e^{-\mu x_n}) &\le M_6\tilde K(|\nabla p|) - \lambda\mu^2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{nn}e^{-\mu x_n} - \lambda\mu\tilde b_n e^{-\mu x_n}\\ &\le M_6\tilde K(|\nabla p|) - \lambda\mu(c_2\mu - c_4)\tilde K(|\nabla p|)e^{-\mu x_n}.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\mu = (1 + c_4)/c_2$ and

$$\lambda = M_6 e^{\mu R}/\mu. \tag{5.24}$$

Then on $B_R^+ \times (0, T]$, $\mathcal{L}\tilde{w} \le 0$. Since $p(x, t) \in C^{1,0}(\bar{U} \times [0, T])$, the function $\tilde{K}(|\nabla p|)$ is bounded below by a positive number. Then by (5.6), $\mathcal{L}$ is a parabolic operator. Therefore, the maximum principle for operator $\mathcal{L}$ implies

$$\max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]} \tilde{w} = \max_{\partial_p(B_R^+ \times (0,T))} \tilde{w}.$$

Here ∂_p denotes the parabolic boundary.

When $(x, t) \in (\bar{B}_R^+ \times \{0\}) \cup ((\partial B_R^+ \setminus \Gamma_R) \times (0, T])$, we have $\zeta(x, t) = 0$ hence

$$\tilde{w}(x, t) = 0 + \lambda e^{-\mu x_n} \le \lambda.$$

When $(x, t) \in \Gamma_R \times (0, T]$: $v(x, t) = 0$ hence $\tilde{w}(x, t) = \lambda$.

Thus,

$$\max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]} \tilde{w} = \max_{\partial_p(B_R^+ \times (0,T))} \tilde{w} \le \lambda = \tilde{w}(x, t), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Gamma_R \times (0, T].$$

Hence, we have $\tilde{w}_{x_n} \le 0$ on $\Gamma_R \times (0, T]$, equivalently, $w_{x_n} \le \mu\lambda$ on $\Gamma_R \times (0, T]$. Note that $w = v$ on $\Gamma_{R/2} \times [\theta T, T]$. Thus, on $\Gamma_{R/2} \times [\theta T, T]$ we have

$$\kappa_0(p_{x_n} - \Psi_{x_n}) = v_{x_n} = w_{x_n} \le \mu\lambda,$$

which implies

$$p_{x_n} \le \Psi_{x_n} + \kappa_0^{-1}\mu\lambda. \tag{5.25}$$

Replacing p by $-p$, and Ψ by $-\Psi$, we obtain again (5.25) with $-p_{x_n}$ and $-\Psi_{x_n}$ in place of p_{x_n} and Ψ_{x_n}. Therefore, we have on $\Gamma_{R/2} \times [\theta T, T]$ that

$$|p_{x_n}| \le C(|\Psi_{x_n}| + \kappa_0^{-1}\mu\lambda).$$

Combining with the fact $p_{x_i} = \Psi_{x_i}$ on $\Gamma_R \times (0, T]$ for $i < n$, we assert that

$$|\nabla p| \le C(|\nabla\Psi| + \kappa_0^{-1}\mu\lambda) \text{ on } \Gamma_{R/2} \times [\theta T, T]. \tag{5.26}$$

Using (5.24) and (5.22) in (5.26), we obtain

$$\max_{\Gamma_{R/2} \times [\theta T,T]} |\nabla p(x, t)| \le C(1 + R^{-2})e^{C'R}(1 + (\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0} \exp\big(C' \max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [\theta T/2,T]} |\bar{p}|\big)$$
$$\cdot (1 + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]} |\Psi_t|^{\frac{2}{2-a}} + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]} |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \max_{\bar{B}_R^+ \times [0,T]} |\nabla^2\Psi|), \tag{5.27}$$

thus proving (5.9). □

The general domain and boundary are treated in the next theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $p(x, t)$ be a solution of (3.1). Then for any $T_0 > 0$, $T > 0$, and $\theta \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\max_{\Gamma \times [T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]} |\nabla p(x, t)| \le C(1 + (\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0} \exp\big(C' \max_{\bar{U} \times [T_0+\theta T/2,T_0+T]} |\bar{p}|\big)$$
$$\cdot (1 + \max_{\bar{U} \times [T_0,T_0+T]} |\Psi_t|^{\frac{2}{2-a}} + \max_{\bar{U} \times [T_0,T_0+T]} |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \max_{\bar{U} \times [T_0,T_0+T]} |\nabla^2\Psi|), \tag{5.28}$$

where C, C' are positive numbers independent of T_0, T, and θ.

Proof. By replacing $p(x,t)$ with $p(x,T_0+t)$, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $T_0=0$ and $p\in C^{2,1}(\bar U\times[0,T])$. Let $x_0\in\partial U$. There exist an open neighborhood V of x_0, a radius $R>0$ and C^2-bijections $y=\Phi(x):U\cap V\to B_{2R}^+$ and $x=\Upsilon(y):B_{2R}^+\to U\cap V$ such that $\Phi=\Upsilon^{-1}$,

$$\Phi(\Gamma\cap V)=B_{2R}\cap\{y_n=0\}, \tag{5.29}$$

and

$$\|\Phi\|_{C^2(U\cap V)},\|\Upsilon\|_{C^2(B_{2R}^+)}\le c_0 \quad \text{for some } c_0>0. \tag{5.30}$$

Define $\tilde p(y)=p(\Upsilon(y))$ and $\tilde\Psi(y)=\Psi(\Upsilon(y))$. We use the fact that $p(x,t)$ is a solution of (5.1). Simple calculations give

$$p_{x_i}=\sum_{k=1}^n \tilde p_{y_k}\Phi^k_{x_i},\quad p_{x_ix_j}=\sum_{k,l=1}^n \tilde p_{y_ky_l}\Phi^k_{x_i}\Phi^l_{x_j}+\sum_{k=1}^n\tilde p_{y_k}\Phi^k_{x_ix_j}$$

with $\Phi=(\Phi^k)_{k=1,\dots,n}$. Thus,

$$p_t-\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}p_{x_xx_j}=\tilde p_t-\sum_{k,l=1}^n\tilde{\mathcal A}_{kl}\tilde p_{y_ky_l}+\sum_{k=1}^n\tilde b_k\tilde p_{y_k}\overset{\text{def}}{=\!=}\mathcal L\tilde p,$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal A}_{kl}=\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\Phi^k_{x_i}\Phi^l_{x_j},\quad \tilde b_k=-\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\Phi^k_{x_ix_j}.$$

Therefore, $\tilde p(y,t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal L\tilde p(y,t)=0 \text{ on } B_R^+\times(0,T),\quad \tilde p(y,t)=\tilde\Psi(y,t)\text{ for } y_n=0. \tag{5.31}$$

Now we check the conditions (5.5), (5.6) and (5.7). By chain rule and (5.30),

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla_y\tilde p(y,t)|\le\tilde c_0|\nabla p(x,t)|,\quad |\nabla p(x,t)|\le\tilde c_0|\nabla_y\tilde p(y,t)|,\\&|\nabla_y^2\tilde\Psi|\le\tilde c_0(|\nabla^2\Psi|+|\nabla\Psi|),\quad |\nabla^2\Psi|\le\tilde c_0(|\nabla_y^2\tilde\Psi|+|\nabla_y\tilde\Psi|),\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

for some $\tilde c_0\ge1$. We have for any $k,l=1,2,\dots,n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{\mathcal A}_{kl}|=|\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\Phi^k_{x_i}\Phi^l_{x_j}|&\le n^2\tilde c_0^2\max_{1\le i,j\le n}|\mathcal A_{ij}|\le n^2\tilde c_0^2(1+a)d_2(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}\\&\le n^2\tilde c_0^2(1+a)d_2(1+\tilde c_0^{-1}|\nabla_y\tilde p|)^{-a}\le n^2\tilde c_0^2(1+a)d_2\tilde c_0^a(1+|\nabla_y\tilde p|)^{-a}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields (5.5). For all $\xi\in\mathbb R^n$,

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n\tilde{\mathcal A}_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l=\sum_{k,l=1}^n\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\Phi^k_{x_i}\Phi^l_{x_j}\xi_k\xi_l=\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\eta_i\eta_j \tag{5.33}$$

with $\eta=(D\Phi)\xi$, i.e., $\xi=(D\Upsilon)\eta$. By (5.3) we have

$$(1-a)d_1(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}|\eta|^2\le\sum_{i,j=1}^n\mathcal A_{ij}\eta_i\eta_j\le d_2(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}|\eta|^2. \tag{5.34}$$

Note that $\tilde{c}_0^{-1}|\xi| \le |\eta| \le \tilde{c}_0|\xi|$. It follows from (5.34) that

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l \le \tilde{c}_0^2 d_2(1+\tilde{c}_0^{-1}|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a}|\xi|^2 \le \tilde{c}_0^2 d_2 \tilde{c}_0^a(1+|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a}|\xi|^2,$$

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{kl}\xi_k\xi_l \ge (1-a)\tilde{c}_0^{-2}d_1(1+\tilde{c}_0|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a}|\xi|^2 \ge (1-a)\tilde{c}_0^{-2}d_1\tilde{c}_0^{-a}(1+|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a}|\xi|^2,$$

hence (5.6) is satisfied. Next, we bound $|\tilde{b}_k|$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ by

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{b}_k| &\le \sum_{i,j=1}^n |\mathcal{A}_{ij}\Phi^k_{x_ix_j}| \le c_0 n^2(1+a)d_2(1+|\nabla p|)^{-a}\\ &\le c_0n^2(1+a)d_2(1+\tilde{c}_0^{-1}|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a} \le c_0n^2(1+a)d_2\tilde{c}_0^a(1+|\nabla_y\tilde{p}|)^{-a}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (5.7).

Applying estimate (5.9) from Proposition 5.1 to $\tilde{p}$, $\tilde{\Psi}$ and equation (5.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\Gamma_{R/2}\times[\theta T,T]}|\nabla_y\tilde{p}(y,t)| &\le \tilde{C}_R(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0}\exp\big(C'\max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[\theta T/2,T]}|\tilde{p}-\tilde{\Psi}|\big)\\ &\cdot(1+\max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]}|\tilde{\Psi}_t|^{\mu_0}+\max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla_y\tilde{\Psi}|^2+\max_{\bar{B}_R^+\times[0,T]}|\nabla_y^2\tilde{\Psi}|). \quad (5.35)\end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small such that $U \cap B_\rho(x_0) \subset \Upsilon(B^+_{R/2})$. Thanks to (5.29), (5.35) and (5.32), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{(\Gamma\cap\bar{B}_\rho(x_0))\times[\theta T,T]}|\nabla p(x,t)| &\le C_R(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0}\exp\big(C'\max_{\bar{U}\times[\theta T/2,T]}|\bar{p}|\big)\\ &\cdot(1+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}|\Psi_t|^{\mu_0}+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}|\nabla\Psi|^2+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}(|\nabla^2\Psi|+|\nabla\Psi|)). \quad (5.36)\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's inequality for the last $|\nabla\Psi|$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{(\Gamma\cap\bar{B}_\rho(x_0))\times[\theta T,T]}|\nabla p(x,t)| &\le C_R(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\mu_0}\exp\big(C'\max_{\bar{U}\times[\theta T/2,T]}|\bar{p}|\big)\\ &\cdot(1+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}|\Psi_t|^{\mu_0}+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}|\nabla\Psi|^2+\max_{\bar{U}\times[0,T]}|\nabla^2\Psi|). \quad (5.37)\end{aligned}$$

By using a finite open covering of Γ, we obtain the desired estimate (5.28) from (5.37). The proof is complete. $\square$

The bounds of $\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}$, in fact, can be expressed in terms of the initial and boundary data as follows.

Corollary 5.3. *Let $p(x,t)$ be a solution of* (3.1)*, and let α satisfy* (2.15).

(i) *If $0 < t \le 3$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le Ct^{-\mu_0}(1+\max_{[t/4,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|^{\mu_0}_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla\Psi\|^2_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))\\ &\cdot\exp\Big\{C't^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}}(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}(1+[EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}. \quad (5.38)\end{aligned}$$

If $t > 1$ *then*

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \max_{[t-1,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}(1+[EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}. \tag{5.39}$$

(ii) *If* $A(\alpha) < \infty$ *then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1 + A(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))} + \|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}. \tag{5.40}$$

(iii) *If* $\beta(\alpha) < \infty$ *then there is* $T > 0$ *such that for all* $t \ge T$

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \max_{[t-1,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-1,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}. \tag{5.41}$$

Proof. (i) For $0 < t \le 3$, applying Theorem 5.2 to $T_0 = t/4$, $T = 3t/4$, $\theta = 1/3$ and using estimate (4.22) we have

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le Ct^{-\mu_0}(1 + \max_{[t/4,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C't^{-\frac{1}{\delta_1}}(1 + \|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)} + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.$$

Then (5.38) follows.

When $t > 1$, applying Theorem 5.2 to $T_0 = t-1$, $T = 1$, $\theta = 1/2$ gives

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \max_{[t-1,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))\cdot\exp\Big\{C'\max_{[t-3/4,t]}\|\bar p\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}. \tag{5.42}$$

Using estimate (4.23) we have

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \max_{[t-1,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1 + \|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)} + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.$$

Then (5.39) follows.

(ii) If $A(\alpha) < \infty$ then taking limit superior of (5.42) and using (4.24) yield

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1 + A(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))} + \|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}.$$

Thus we obtain (5.40).

(iii) Using (4.25) in (5.42) gives

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \le C(1+\max_{[t-1,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))$$

$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-1,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.$$

for all $t \ge T$ with some $T > 0$. This proves (5.41). ☐

6 L^s-estimates for the gradient

In this section, we estimate the pressure gradient in L^s-norm for any $0 < s < \infty$. Throughout this section, $p(x,t)$ is a solution of (3.1). First, we establish the basic step for the Ladyzhenskaya-Uraltseva iteration.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $s > 0$, $T_0 \ge 0$, and $T > T' \ge 0$. Define*

$$M_b = \max_{\Gamma\times[T_0+T',T_0+T]} |\nabla p| \quad \textit{and} \quad v = \max\{|\nabla p|^2 - M_b^2, 0\}.$$

Let $\zeta(t)$ be a smooth cut-off function on $[T_0, T_0+T]$ with $\zeta = 0$ on $[T_0, T_0+T']$. Then

$$\sup_{[T_0,T_0+T]} \int_U v^{s+1}(x,t)\zeta dx + \int_{T_0}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla^2 p|^2 v^s \zeta dxdt \le C\int_{T_0}^{T}\int_U v^{s+1}|\zeta_t| dxdt. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $T_0 = 0$. Note that $v\zeta = 0$ on $\Gamma \times [0,T]$. Denote $\chi = \chi_{\{v>0\}}$. Multiplying the equation (3.1) by $-\nabla\cdot(v^s\zeta\nabla p)$, integrating the resultant over U and using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2s+2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta dx = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\int_U \partial_j(K(|\nabla p|)\partial_i p)\,\partial_i(v^s\partial_j p\zeta)dx + \frac{1}{2s+2}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta_t dx. \tag{6.2}$$

Using the product rule for the first term in the right hand side of (6.2) (see Lemma 3.6 of [13]) we rewrite above equation as

$$\frac{1}{2s+2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta dx = -\sum_{i,j,l=1}^{n}\int_U \Big[\partial_{y_l}(K(|y|)y_i)\Big|_{y=\nabla p}\partial_j\partial_l p\Big]\partial_j\partial_i p v^s\zeta dx$$

$$-s\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\sum_{l,m=1}^{n}\int_U \Big[\partial_{y_l}(K(|y|)y_i)\Big|_{y=\nabla p}\partial_j\partial_l p\Big]\partial_j p\,(v^{s-1}\partial_i\partial_m p\partial_m p\chi)\,\zeta dx + \frac{1}{2s+2}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta_t dx.$$

We denote the three terms on the right-hand side by I_1, I_2, and I_3. It follows from the calculations in Lemma 3.6 of [13] that

$$I_1 \le -(1-a)\sum_{j=1}^{n}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla(\partial_j p)|^2 v^s\zeta dx,$$

$$I_2 \le -(1-a)s\int_U K(|\nabla p|)\Big|\nabla\Big(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla p|^2\Big)\Big|^2 v^{s-1}\chi\zeta dx \le 0.$$

Combining these estimates, we find that

$$\frac{1}{2s+2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta dx + (1-a)\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla^2 p|^2 v^s\zeta dx \le \frac{1}{2s+2}\int_U v^{s+1}\zeta_t dx. \tag{6.3}$$

Inequality (6.1) follows directly by integrating (6.3) from 0 to T. ☐

In the following proposition, we iterate the inequality in Lemma 6.1 in order to estimate $W^{1,s}$-norm of p in term of its $W^{1,2-a}$ and L^∞ norms.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $s \geq 1$, $T_0 \geq 0$, $T > 0$, and $0 < \theta' < \theta < 1$. Define*

$$M_b = \max_{[T_0+\theta' T, T_0+T]} \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \max\{|\nabla p|^2 - M_b^2, 0\}.$$

Then

$$\int_{T_0+\theta T}^{T_0+T} \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s dx dt \leq CT\mathcal{U}(s) + C d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}} \int_{T_0+\theta' T}^{T_0+T} \int_U (1 + |\nabla p|^{2-a}) dx dt, \tag{6.4}$$

where constant $C > 0$ is independent of T_0, T, θ, and θ',

$$\mathcal{U}(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq s \leq 3-a, \\ d_0 + d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{if } s > 3-a, \end{cases} \tag{6.5}$$

$$d_0 = N_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2} + M_b^4, \quad \text{with} \quad N_0 = \sup_{[T_0+\theta' T, T_0+T]} \|p\|_{L^\infty(U)}. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $T_0 = 0$. The proof consists of three steps.

Step 1. Let $\zeta(t)$ be the cut-off function with $\zeta = 0$ for $t \leq \theta' T$ and $\zeta = 1$ for $t \geq \theta T$. For $s \geq 0$, by applying Lemma 2.3 with $k = 0$, and $s+1$ in place of s, multiplying (2.23) by $\zeta^2(t)$ and integrating from 0 to T, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} \zeta^2 dx dt \leq C \max |p|^2 \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) |\nabla^2 p|^2 v^s \zeta^2 dx dt + C M_b^4 \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta^2 dx dt.$$

To estimate the first integral on the right-hand side, we use (6.1) with ζ^2 in place of ζ, and find that

$$\int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} \zeta^2 dx dt \leq C N_0^2 \int_0^T \int_U v^{s+1} \zeta \zeta_t dx dt + C M_b^4 \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta^2 dx dt. \tag{6.7}$$

Now using Young's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} \zeta^2 dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} \zeta^2 dx dt + C N_0^4 \int_0^T \int_U K^{-1}(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta_t^2 dx dt \\ + C M_b^4 \int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta^2 dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} \zeta^2 dx dt \leq C N_0^4 \int_0^T \int_U K^{-1}(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta_t^2 dx dt + C M_b^4 \int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s \zeta^2 dx dt. \tag{6.8}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-1}(|\nabla p|) v^s &\leq C K(|\nabla p|)(1 + |\nabla p|)^{2a} v^s \leq C K(|\nabla p|)(1 + (v + M_b^2)^a) v^s \\ &\leq C K(|\nabla p|)(1 + v^{s+a} + M_b^{2a} v^s) \leq C K(|\nabla p|)(v^{s+a} + 1 + M_b^{2(s+a)}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K(|\nabla p|) v^s \leq C K(|\nabla p|)(1 + v^{s+a}).$$

Thus (6.8) implies

$$\int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2}\zeta^2 dxdt \le C[N_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2} + M_b^4] \int_0^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+a}\chi_{\{\zeta>0\}} dxdt$$
$$+ C\int_0^T \int_U [N_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2}(1+M_b^{2(s+a)}) + M_b^4]\chi_{\{\zeta>0\}} dxdt.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} dxdt \le C[N_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2} + M_b^4] \int_{\theta' T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+a} dxdt$$
$$+ CT[N_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2}(1+M_b^2)^{s+a} + M_b^4].$$

Let $N_1 = TN_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2}$ and $N_2 = Td_0$. Then

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+2} dxdt \le Cd_0 \int_{\theta' T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s+a} dxdt + CN_1 M_b^{2(s+a)} + CN_2. \tag{6.9}$$

Equivalently, for $s \ge 2$

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s} dxdt \le Cd_0 \int_{\theta' T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{s-(2-a)} dxdt + CN_1 (1+M_b^2)^{s-(2-a)} + CN_2. \tag{6.10}$$

Step 2. We prove (6.4) for $s \in [1, 3-a]$. First,

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v dxdt \le \int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^2 dxdt \le \int_{\theta T}^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|^{2-a}) dxdt. \tag{6.11}$$

This yields (6.4) for $s=1$.

Second, let $s = 1-a$ in (6.8),

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{3-a} dxdt \le CN_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2} \int_{\theta' T}^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|)^a v^{1-a} dxdt + CM_b^4 \int_{\theta' T}^T \int_U v^{1-a} dxdt$$
$$\le CN_0^4((\theta-\theta')T)^{-2} \int_0^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|)^a |\nabla p|^{2(1-a)} dxdt + CM_b^4 \int_0^T \int_U |\nabla p|^{2(1-a)} dxdt.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{3-a} dxdt \le Cd_0 \int_0^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|^{2-a}) dxdt \tag{6.12}$$

This implies (6.4) when $s = 3-a$.

Third, when $s \in (1, 3-a)$, let β be the number in $(0,1)$ such that $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1-\beta}{1} + \frac{\beta}{3-a}$. Then, by interpolation inequality:

$$\Big(\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s dxdt\Big)^{\frac{1}{s}} \le \Big(\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v dxdt\Big)^{1-\beta} \Big(\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^{3-a} dxdt\Big)^{\frac{\beta}{3-a}}.$$

Using (6.12) to estimate the last double integral, we obtain

$$\int_{\theta T}^T \int_U K(|\nabla p|) v^s dxdt \le Cd_0^{\beta s/(3-a)} \Big(\int_0^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|^{2-a}) dxdt\Big)^{s(1-\beta+\frac{\beta}{3-a})}.$$

Note that $\beta s/(3-a)=(s-1)/(2-a)$. Then

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt \le C d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}} \int_0^T \int_U (1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt. \tag{6.13}$$

Therefore, (6.11) and (6.13) imply (6.4) for $s\in[1,3-a]$.

Step 3. When $s>3-a$, let $m\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{s-(3-a)}{2-a} \le m < \frac{s-(3-a)}{2-a}+1,$$

then $s-m(2-a)\in(1,3-a]$. For each $k=1,2,\dots,m$, let $\theta_k=\theta-(\theta-\theta')k/m$ and $\tilde Q_k=U\times(\theta_k T,T)$. Also, let $\zeta_k(t)$ be a smooth cut-off function which is equal to one on $\tilde Q_k$ and zero on $Q_T\setminus\tilde Q_{k-1}$. There is a positive constant $c>0$ depending on U, such that $|\zeta_{k,t}|\le mc[(\theta-\theta')T]^{-1}$, for all $k=1,2,\dots,m$.

From (6.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt &\le C d_0^m \int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^{s-m(2-a)}dxdt\\ &+ CN_1\Big[M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}+d_0M_b^{2(s-2(2-a))}+d_0^2M_b^{2(s-3(2-a))}+\ldots+d_0^{m-1}M_b^{2(s-m(2-a))}\Big]\\ &+CN_2(1+d_0+d_0^2+\ldots+d_0^{m-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Using inequality $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1}z^i\le(1+z)^{m-1},\forall z>0$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt &\le C d_0^m \int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^{s-m(2-a)}dxdt\\ &+CN_1M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}[1+d_0M_b^{-2(2-a)}]^{m-1}+CTd_0(1+d_0)^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt &\le C d_0^m \int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^{s-m(2-a)}dxdt\\ &+CN_1M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}[1+d_0M_b^{-2(2-a)}]^{m-1}+CTd_0(1+d_0)^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $s-m(2-a)\in(1-a,3-a]$, estimating the double integral on the right-hand side by (6.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt &\le CN_1M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}[1+d_0M_b^{-2(2-a)}]^{m-1}+CTd_0(1+d_0)^{m-1}\\ &+Cd_0^{\frac{s-m(2-a)-1}{2-a}+m}\int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Since $m\le\frac{s-1}{2-a}$ and $\frac{s-m(2-a)-1}{2-a}+m=\frac{s-1}{2-a}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt &\le CN_1M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}[1+d_0M_b^{-2(2-a)}]^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}-1}+CTd_0(1+d_0)^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}-1}\\ &+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt \le CN_1(M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}-1}M_b^2)+CT(d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}})\\ &+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_{\theta_m T}^{T}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&N_1(M_b^{2(s-(2-a))}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}-1}M_b^2)+T(d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}})\le T(d_0d_0^{2(s-(2-a))/4}+d_0d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}-1}d_0^{1/2}+d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}})\\&=T(d_0^{\frac{s+a}{2}}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12}+d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}})\le CT(d_0^{\frac{s+a}{2}}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12}+d_0)\le CT(d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12}),\end{aligned}$$

since $1<\frac{s+a}{2}<\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12$ for $s>3-a$. So,

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt\le CT\mathcal{U}(s)+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_{\theta' T}^{T}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt. \tag{6.14}$$

This completes the proof of (6.4) for all $s\ge 1$. □

From the estimates of $K(|\nabla p|)v^s$ is Lemma 6.2, we derive direct estimates for $|\nabla p|^s$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $T_0\ge 0$, $T>0$ and $\theta\in(0,1)$. If $s\ge 2-a$ then*

$$\int_{T_0+\theta T}^{T_0+T}\int_U|\nabla p|^s dxdt\le CT(1+\mathcal{D}^{\tilde s})+C\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_{T_0}^{T_0+T}\int_U|\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt, \tag{6.15}$$

and if $s>2$ then

$$\sup_{[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]}\int_U|\nabla p|^s dx\le C\theta^{-1}(1+\mathcal{D}^{\tilde s})+C(\theta T)^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_{T_0}^{T_0+T}\int_U|\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt, \tag{6.16}$$

where constant $C>0$ is independent of T_0, T, and θ,

$$\tilde s=\begin{cases}\max\{\frac{s+a}{2},\frac{s}{2-a}-1\}, & \text{if } 2-a\le s\le 3(2-a),\\ \frac{s}{2-a}, & \text{if } s>3(2-a),\end{cases} \tag{6.17}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}=(\theta T)^{-1}\sup_{[T_0+\theta T/2,T_0+T]}\|p\|^2_{L^\infty(U)}+\sup_{[T_0+\theta T/2,T_0+T]}|\nabla p|^2_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}. \tag{6.18}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $T_0=0$. Let M_b, v and d_0 be defined as in Lemma 6.2.

Proof of (6.15)**.** From the definition of the function v and inequality $|a-b|^\gamma\ge 2^{-\gamma}a^\gamma-b^\gamma$ for $a,b\ge 0,\gamma>0$, we have

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^{2s}dxdt\le\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)v^s dxdt+CTM_b^{2s}.$$

We apply (6.4) with $\theta'=\theta/2$ to estimate the integral on the right-hand side. It results in

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^{2s}dxdt\le CT\mathcal{U}(s)+CTM_b^{2s}+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U(1+|\nabla p|^{2-a})dxdt. \tag{6.19}$$

For $s>3-a$, then by definition (6.5) and then Young's inequality, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^{2s}dxdt&\le CT(d_0+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12}+d_0^{s/2}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}})+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U|\nabla p|^{2-a}dx\\&\le CT(1+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac12})+Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U|\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Estimating $K(|\nabla p|)$ from below by (2.11), we get

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U |\nabla p|^{2s-a}dxdt \le CT(1+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}+\frac{1}{2}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt. \tag{6.20}$$

Replacing $2s-a$ by $s > 2(3-a)-a = 3(2-a)$ in (6.20) we obtain

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T} |\nabla p|^{s}dxdt \le CT(1+d_0^{\frac{s}{2(2-a)}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-(2-a)}{2(2-a)}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt. \tag{6.21}$$

For $1 \le s \le 3-a$, we have from (6.19) that

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla p|^{2s}dxdt \le CT(d_0^{s/2}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt.$$

Using property (2.11) again yields

$$\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U |\nabla p|^{2s-a}dxdt \le CT(1+d_0^{s/2}+d_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-1}{2-a}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt.$$

Replacing $2s-a$ by $s \in [2-a, 3(2-a)]$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U |\nabla p|^{s}dxdt &\le CT(1+d_0^{\frac{s+a}{4}}+d_0^{\frac{s-(2-a)}{2(2-a)}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-(2-a)}{2(2-a)}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt\\ &\le CT(1+d_0^{\max\{\frac{s+a}{4},\frac{s-(2-a)}{2(2-a)}\}}) + Cd_0^{\frac{s-(2-a)}{2(2-a)}}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

Note that $d_0^{1/2} \le C\mathcal{D}$. Then combing (6.21), for the case $s > 3(2-a)$, with (6.22), for the case $s \in [2-a, 3(2-a)]$, we obtain (6.15) for all $s \ge 2-a$.

Proof of (6.16)**.** For $s > 2$, we have from (6.1) with $\theta' = \theta/2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U |\nabla p|^s\zeta dx &\le C\sup_{[0,T]}\int_U (v^{s/2}+M_b^s)\zeta dx \le CM_b^s + \int_0^T\int_U v^{s/2}\zeta_t dxdt\\ &\le CM_b^s + C\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{s/2}|\zeta_t|dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Choose $\zeta(t)$ such that $\zeta = 0$ for $t \le 3\theta T/4$, and $\zeta = 1$ for $t \ge \theta T$. Then

$$\sup_{[\theta T,T]}\int_U |\nabla p|^s\zeta dx \le Cd_0^{s/4} + C(\theta T)^{-1}\int_{\frac{3\theta T}{4}}^{T}\int_U |\nabla p|^s dxdt, \tag{6.23}$$

with d_0 being defined by using, again, $\theta' = \theta/2$. We apply (6.15) with θ being replaced by $\theta_1 = 3\theta/4$ and d_0, hence $\mathcal{D}$, defined by using $\theta' = 2\theta_1/3$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{[\theta T,T]}\int_U |\nabla p|^s dx &\le C\mathcal{D}^{s/2} + C\theta^{-1}(1+\mathcal{D}^{\tilde{s}}) + C(\theta T)^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt\\ &\le C\theta^{-1}(1+\mathcal{D}^{\tilde{s}}) + C(\theta T)^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_0^T\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain (6.16). This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Now, we combine Proposition 6.3 with estimates in section 3 to express the bounds for the gradient's L^s-norms in terms of the initial and boundary data.

In the following α always satisfies (2.15). Let p_1, q_1 be fixed and δ_1 be defined as in Theorem 4.1. Also, let z_1, z_2, z_3 be defined as in Corollary 4.3, and μ_0 be defined by (5.10). We define a number of constants and quantities that will be used for the rest of the paper:

$$\kappa_1 = \max\{2\mu_0, 1 + 2/\delta_1\}, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_1(t) = 1 + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}, \quad \bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t) = 1 + \beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}} + \sup_{[t-2,t]} A(\alpha,\cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}, \tag{6.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_2(t) = 1 + \sup_{[0,t]}(\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty})\\ + (\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2},\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t) = 1 + \sup_{[t-2,t]}(\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty})\\ + (\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let* $s > 2$.

(i) *If* $0 < t \le 3$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le Ct^{-1-\tilde{s}\kappa_1}(1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{2\tilde{s}z_3+2}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{2\tilde{s}z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{2\tilde{s}}\Big(1 + \int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\ \cdot \exp\Big\{C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

(ii) *If* $t > 2$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le C(1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{2\tilde{s}z_3+\alpha}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{2\tilde{s}z_3+\alpha}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{2\tilde{s}}\Big(1 + \int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\ \cdot \exp\Big\{C'(1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}^{z_3})\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Proof. (i) Let $t \in (0,3]$. Applying (6.16) to $T_0 = 0$, $T = t$ and $\theta = 1/2$ gives

$$\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le C\Big(1 + \mathcal{D}^{\tilde{s}} + Ct^{-1}\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_0^t\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxd\tau\Big),$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by (6.18). Since $\tilde{s} \ge \frac{s}{2-a} - 1$, then

$$\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le Ct^{-1}(1 + \mathcal{D})^{\tilde{s}}\Big(1 + \int_0^t\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxd\tau\Big), \tag{6.30}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &\le Ct^{-1}\sup_{[t/4,t]}\|p\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sup_{[t/4,t]}\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2\\ &\le Ct^{-1}\sup_{[t/4,t]}\|\bar{p}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + Ct^{-1}\sup_{[t/4,t]}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sup_{[t/4,t]}\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\|\bar p\|_{L^\infty}$ we use (4.22). To estimate $\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}$, we apply (5.38) of Corollary 5.3. We obtain

$$\mathcal{D} \le C(t^{-1-2/\delta_1}R_1^2 + t^{-1}R_2^2 + t^{-2\mu_0}R_3^2 e^{C't^{-1/\delta_1}R_1}) \overset{\text{def}}{=\!=} C\bar{\mathcal{D}},$$

where

$$R_1 = (1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2},$$

$$R_2 = \sup_{[0,t]}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty},\quad R_3 = 1+\sup_{[0,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}).$$

By relation (2.13), we have $|\nabla p|^{2-a} \le C(1+H(|\nabla p|))$, hence we can use (3.8) to estimate the last integral in (6.30). Substituting these estimates into (6.30) gives

$$\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le Ct^{-1}\bar{\mathcal{D}}^{\tilde s}\Big(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big), \tag{6.31}$$

hence

$$\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le Ct^{-1-\tilde s\kappa_1}(R_1+R_2+R_3)^{2\tilde s}R_4 e^{C't^{-1/\delta_1}R_1}, \tag{6.32}$$

where $R_4 = (1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^2})^2(1+\int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau)$. For the sum $R_1+R_2+R_3$, we clearly see that $R_2, R_3 \le \mathcal{K}_2(t)$, and

$$R_1 \le C(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t).$$

Therefore

$$\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx \le Ct^{-1-\tilde s\kappa_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^2})^2(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{2\tilde s z_3}[\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t)]^{2\tilde s}\Big(1+\int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big) \cdot \exp\Big\{Ct^{-1/\delta_1}R_1\Big\}. \tag{6.33}$$

Then by Hölder's inequality, we can combine the powers of L^2 and L^α norms of $\bar p_0$, and obtain (6.28).

(ii) Let $t>2$. Applying (6.16) with $T_0 = t-1$, $T=1$, $\theta=1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx &\le C(1+\mathcal{D}^{\tilde s}) + C\mathcal{D}^{\frac{s}{2-a}-1}\int_{t-1}^t\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxd\tau\\ &\le C(1+\mathcal{D})^{\tilde s}\Big[1+\int_{t-1}^t\int_U |\nabla p|^{2-a}dxd\tau\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is defined in (6.18). We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &\le C\sup_{[t-1,t]}\Big[\|p\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\Big]^2\\ &\le C\sup_{[t-1,t]}\Big[\|\bar p\|_{L^\infty}+\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}\Big]^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Similar to part (i), but using (4.23) and (5.39) instead of (4.22) and (5.38), we have

$$\mathcal{D} \le C(R_5^2+R_6^2+R_7^2 e^{C'R_5}), \tag{6.36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_5 = (1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2},\\
&R_6 = \sup_{[t-1,t]}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty}, \quad R_7 = 1+\max_{[t-2,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (6.34), (6.36) and (3.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx &\le C(R_5^2+R_6^2+R_7^2 e^{C'R_5})^{\tilde s}\Big(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha}^\alpha + EnvA(\alpha,t)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\
&\le C(R_5+R_6+R_7e^{C'R_5})^{2\tilde s}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^\alpha \mathcal{K}_1(t)^\alpha\Big(1+\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big). \quad (6.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $R_6, R_7 \le \bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)$ and

$$R_5 \le C(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{z_2}.$$

Therefore, similar to part (i), we obtain (6.29) from (6.37). □

Remark 6.5. (a) *Regarding the regularity requirement for the initial data* $p_0(x)$ *in estimates of* $\|\nabla p\|_{L^s}$ *in later time* $t>0$*, the right-hand side of* (6.28) *and* (6.29) *only need* $\|p_0\|_{L^\alpha}$*. This shows the regularity gain of the solution when* $t>0$*.*

(b) *The* $W^{1,s}$*-estimates established above hold for* $s>n$*, hence the Hölder estimates follow thanks to Morrey's embedding. This is opposite to Ladyzhenskaya-Uraltseva's proofs in [14] which establish Hölder continuity first and use it to obtain* $W^{1,s}$*-estimates, but not for all s. The reason for this simplification is our equation's special structure, see* (2.8)–(2.13)*.*

For large time estimates, the bounds in Theorem 6.4 can be established to be independent of the initial data as in the following.

Theorem 6.6. (i) *If* $A(\alpha)<\infty$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx &\le C\mathcal{A}_1^{2\tilde s z_3+\alpha}\mathcal{A}_2^{2\tilde s}\mathcal{G}_1\\
&\cdot\exp\Big\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}, \quad (6.38)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = 1+A(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}, \quad \mathcal{G}_1 = 1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau, \quad (6.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_2 = 1&+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{2-a}}+\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty})\\
&+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U)}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U)})^{z_2}. \quad (6.40)
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If* $\beta(\alpha)<\infty$ *then there is* $T>0$ *such that for all* $t>T$*,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx &\le C\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{2\tilde s z_3+\alpha}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{2\tilde s}\Big(1+\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\
&\cdot\exp\Big\{C'\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}. \quad (6.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) Taking the limit superior as $t \to \infty$ in (6.34) and (6.35) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dxdt\\ &\le C(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|p\|_{L^\infty(U)}+\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(U)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}))^{2\tilde{s}}\cdot(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t\int_U|\nabla p|^{2-a}dxd\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{6.42}$$

Applying (4.24), (5.40) and (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx\\ &\qquad\le C\Big[\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\\ &\qquad\quad+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(U)}+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(1+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{2-a}}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty})\\ &\quad\cdot\exp\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))})^{z_2}\}\Big]^{2\tilde{s}}\times\Big(1+\mathcal{A}_1^\alpha+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Then estimate (6.38) follows.

(ii) By combining (6.34), (6.35) with (4.25), (5.41) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx\\ &\le C\Big\{[1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-2,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}]^{z_3}[1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}]^{z_2}\\ &\qquad+\sup_{[t-1,t]}\|\Psi\|_{L^\infty(U)}+(1+\sup_{[t-2,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))\\ &\cdot\exp\{C'[1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-2,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}}]^{z_3}[1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}]^{z_2}\}\Big\}^{2\tilde{s}}\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot\Big(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2a}}+A(\alpha,t-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \ge T$ with some $T > 2$. Note that $\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-2,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}} \le 2^{1/\alpha}\sup_{[t-2,t]} A(\alpha,\tau)^{1/(\alpha-a)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_U |\nabla p(x,t)|^s dx\\ &\le C\Big\{\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)+\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)\exp\{C'\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3}[1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}U\times(t-2,t))}]^{z_2}\}\Big\}^{2\tilde{s}}\\ &\qquad\qquad\cdot\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^\alpha\Big(1+\int_{t-1}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, inequality (6.41) follows. □

Remark 6.7. *In case $\Psi = \Psi(x)$, we want to investigate the long-time dynamics by using the notion of global attractors, see, e.g., [20, 23]. However, the independence of estimates* (6.38) *and* (6.41) *on the initial data does not yet prove the existence of a absorbing ball. Therefore the existence of the global attractor is still an open problem.*

7 L^∞-estimates for the gradient

In this section, we obtain global L^∞-estimates for the gradient of pressure. Let $p(x,t)$ be a solution of IBVP (3.1). For each $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, denote $u_m = p_{x_m}$ and $u = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) = \nabla p$. We have

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} = \partial_m(\nabla \cdot (K(|u|)u)) = \nabla \cdot (K(|u|)\partial_m u) + \nabla \cdot \Big[K'(|u|)\frac{\sum_i u_i \partial_m u_i}{|u|} u\Big]. \tag{7.1}$$

Since $\partial_i u_m = \partial_m u_i$, we have $\partial_m u = (\partial_m u_1, \ldots, \partial_m u_n) = (\partial_1 u_m, \ldots, \partial_n u_m) = \nabla u_m$, and $\sum_i u_i \partial_m u_i = \sum_i u_i \partial_i u_m = u \cdot \nabla u_m$. Therefore, we rewrite (7.1) as

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot (K(|u|)\nabla u_m) + \nabla \cdot \Big[K'(|u|)\frac{u \cdot \nabla u_m}{|u|} u\Big]. \tag{7.2}$$

We consider this as a linear equation for u_m with variable coefficients, and write

$$\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u_m), \tag{7.3}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(x,t,\xi) = K(|u(x,t)|)\xi + K'(|u(x,t)|)\frac{u(x,t)\cdot \xi}{|u|} u(x,t).$$

Using properties (2.11) and (2.12), one can verify that

$$|\mathcal{A}(x,t,\xi)| \le (1+a)K(|u(x,t)|)|\xi|, \tag{7.4}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(x,t,\xi)\cdot \xi \ge (1-a)K(|u(x,t)|)|\xi|^2. \tag{7.5}$$

We will apply De Giorgi's technique to equation (7.2). In the following, we fix a number s_0 such that $r = s_0$ satisfies (2.19). Note that $s_0^* > 2$. We will also use s_j for $j \ge 1$ to denote some exponents that depend on s_0 but are independent of α. Let

$$s_1 = (1 - 2/s_0^*)^{-1} > 1. \tag{7.6}$$

Theorem 7.1. *For any $T_0 \ge 0$, $T > 0$, and $\theta \in (0,1)$, if $t \in [T_0 + \theta T, T_0 + T]$ then*

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C(1 + (\theta T)^{-1})^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{s_1}{2}} \|\nabla p\|_{L^2(U\times(T_0+\theta T/2, T_0+T))} + C \sup_{[T_0+\theta T/2, T_0+T]} \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}, \tag{7.7}$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda(T_0, T, \theta) = \Big(\int_{T_0+\theta T/2}^{T_0+T} \int_U (1 + |\nabla p|)^{\frac{a s_0}{2-s_0}} dxdt\Big)^{\frac{2-s_0}{s_0}}, \tag{7.8}$$

and constant $C > 0$ is independent of T_0, T, θ.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $T_0 = 0$. Denote $M_b = \sup_{\Gamma\times[\theta T/2, T]} |\nabla p|$.

Fix $m \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We will show for $t \in [\theta T, T]$ that

$$\|p_{x_m}(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C(1 + (\theta T)^{-1})^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}} \lambda^{\frac{s_1}{2}} \|p_{x_m}\|_{L^2(V\times(T_0+\theta T/2, T_0+T))} + 2M_b. \tag{7.9}$$

Let $\zeta(t)$ be a cut-off function with $\zeta(t) = 0$ for $t \le \theta T/2$. We define for $k \ge M_b$, $u_m^{(k)} = \max\{u_m - k, 0\}$, then $u_m^{(k)} = 0$ on Γ.

Multiplying (7.3) by $u_m^{(k)}\zeta$ and integrating over U, using properties (7.4) and (7.5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_U |u_m^{(k)}\zeta|^2 dx \le \int_U |u_m^{(k)}|^2\zeta\zeta_t dx - (1-a)\int_U K(|u|)|\nabla u_m^{(k)}\zeta|^2 dx. \tag{7.10}$$

Integrating (7.10) from 0 to t for $t\in[0,T]$, and then taking supremum in t give

$$\max_{[0,T]}\int_U |u_m^{(k)}\zeta|^2 dx + C\int_0^T\int_U K(|u|)|\nabla u_m^{(k)}|^2\zeta dxdt \le \int_0^T\int_U |u_m^{(k)}|^2\zeta\zeta_t dx. \tag{7.11}$$

Let

$$\nu_0 = 4(1-1/s_0^*) > 2. \tag{7.12}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to function $u_m^{(k)}\zeta$ which vanishes on the boundary, weight $W = K(|u|)$ and exponents $r = s_0$, $\varrho = \varrho(s_0) = \nu_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_m^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^{\nu_0}(Q_T)} &\le C\Big[\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in[0,T]}\|u_m^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^2(U)} + \Big(\int_0^T\int_U K(|u|)|\nabla(u_m^{(k)}\zeta)|^2 dxdt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big]\\ &\quad\cdot\Big[\int_0^T\int_{\mathrm{supp}\zeta} K(|u|)^{-\frac{s_0}{2-s_0}} dxdt\Big]^{\frac{2-s_0}{\nu_0 s_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.11), we have $K(|u|)^{-\frac{s_0}{2-s_0}} \le C(1+|u|)^{\frac{as_0}{2-s_0}}$, hence

$$\|u_m^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^{\nu_0}(Q_T)} \le C\lambda^{1/\nu_0}\Big[\max_{t\in[0,T]}\int_U |u_m^{(k)}\zeta|^2 dx + \int_0^T\int_U K(|u|)|\nabla(u_m^{(k)}\zeta)|^2 dxdt\Big]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.13}$$

By (7.13), (7.11) and the boundedness of function $K(\cdot)$ we find that

$$\|u_m^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^{\nu_0}(Q_T)} \le C\lambda^{1/\nu_0}\Big(\int_0^T\int_U |u_m^{(k)}|^2\zeta|\zeta_t| dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{7.14}$$

Let $M_0 \ge M_b$. For $i\ge 0$, let $t_i = \theta T(1-1/2^{i+1})$, $k_i = 2M_0(1-2^{i+1})$, and let $\mathcal{Q}_i$, $\zeta_i(t)$ be the same as in the proof of Theorem 4.1. For $i,j\ge 0$, define

$$A_{i,j} = \{(x,t) : u_m(x,t) > k_i,\ t\in(t_j,T)\},\quad A_i = A_{i,i},$$

Let $Y_i = \|u_m^{(k_i)}\|_{L^2(A_{i,i})}$. Applying (7.14) with $k = k_{i+1}$ and $\zeta = \zeta_i$ and then using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see detailed calculations in Theorem 5.6 of [12]), we obtain

$$Y_{i+1} \le DB^i Y_i^{1+\nu_3} \quad \text{for all } i\ge 0,$$

where $\nu_3 = 1-2/\nu_0$, $B = 4$ and $D = C(1+\frac{1}{\theta T})^{1/2}\lambda^{1/\nu_0}M_0^{-\nu_3}$.

We now determine M_0 so that $Y_0 \le D^{-1/\nu_3}B^{-1/\nu_3^2}$. This condition is met if

$$M_0 \ge C\Big[\lambda^{1/\nu_0}(1+\frac{1}{\theta T})^{1/2}\Big]^{1/\nu_3} Y_0 = C\lambda^{s_1/2}(1+\frac{1}{\theta T})^{\frac{1+s_1}{2}} Y_0.$$

Since $Y_0 = \|u_m^{(k_0)}\|_{L^2(A_{0,0})} \le \|u_m\|_{L^2(U\times(\theta T/2,T))}$ and $M_0\ge M_b$, it suffices to choose M_0 as

$$M_0 = C\lambda^{s_1/2}(1+\frac{1}{\theta T})^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}}\|u_m\|_{L^2(U\times(\theta T/2,T))} + M_b. \tag{7.15}$$

Then Lemma 2.4 gives $\lim_{i\to\infty} Y_i = 0$. Hence, $\int_{\theta T}^{T}\int_U |u_m^{(2M_0)}|^2 dxdt = 0$. Thus, $u_m(x,t) \le M_0$ a.e. in $U \times (\theta T, T)$. Replace u_m, u by $-u_m, -u$ and use the same argument we obtain

$$|u_m(x,t)| \le 2M_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } U \times (\theta T, T). \tag{7.16}$$

By the choice of M_0 we obtain from (7.16) that

$$|u_m(x,t)| \le C(1 + \frac{1}{\theta T})^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}} \lambda^{s_1/2} \|u_m\|_{L^2(U\times(\theta T/2, T))} + 2M_b$$

for all $m = 1, \ldots, n$. Then (7.9) follows, and the proof is compete. ☐

We will combine Theorem 7.1 with the high integrability of ∇p in section 6 to obtain the L^∞-estimates. For the rest of this paper, we fix the following constants

$$s_2 > \max\Big\{2, \frac{a s_0}{2 - s_0}\Big\} \quad \text{and} \quad s_3 = s_1(2 - s_0)/s_0 + 1, \tag{7.17}$$

where s_0 and s_1 are as in (7.6). We use the same notation as in (6.24)–(6.27), in Theorem 6.6, and also denote

$$\kappa_2 = 1 + s_1 + \tilde{s}_2 \kappa_1 s_3, \quad \kappa_3 = \tilde{s}_2 z_3 + \alpha/2, \tag{7.18}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t) = 1 + \beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - 2a}} + \sup_{[t-3,t]} A(\alpha, \cdot)^{\frac{1}{\alpha - a}}, \tag{7.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t) = 1 + \sup_{[t-3,t]} (\|\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^\infty}^{\mu_0} + \|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla^2\Psi(t)\|_{L^\infty}) \\ + (\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

We recall that the definition of $\tilde{s}$ is given by (6.17).

Theorem 7.2. (i) *If* $0 < t \le 3$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C t^{-\kappa_2/2} (1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{(\tilde{s}_2 z_3 + 1)s_3} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\tilde{s}_2 z_3 s_3} \mathcal{K}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2 s_3} \Big(1 + \int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2} \\ \cdot \exp\Big[C t^{-1/\delta_1} (1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}^{z_3}) \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

(ii) *If* $t > 3$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C (1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{\kappa_3 s_3} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\kappa_3 s_3} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2 s_3} \Big(1 + \int_{t-2}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2} \\ \cdot \exp\Big\{C (1 + \|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}^{z_3}) \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

Proof. First, we note in (7.7) that

$$\lambda \le C\Big(\int_{T_0+\theta T/2}^{T_0+T} \int_U (1 + |\nabla p|)^{s_2} dxdt\Big)^{\frac{2-s_0}{2}},$$

$$\|\nabla p\|_{L^2(U\times(T_0+\theta T/2, T_0+T))} \le C\Big(\int_{T_0+\theta T/2}^{T_0+T} \int_U (1 + |\nabla p|)^{s_2} dxdt\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, we have from (7.7) that

$$\sup_{[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\frac{1+s_1}{2}}\Big(\int_{T_0+\theta T/2}^{T_0+T}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|)^{s_2}dxdt\Big)^{s_3/2}$$
$$+C\sup_{[T_0+\theta T/2,T_0+T]}\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}. \quad (7.23)$$

(i) Let $t\in(0,3]$, applying (7.23) with $T_0=0$, $T=t$, and $\theta=1/2$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le Ct^{-\frac{1+s_1}{2}}\Big(\int_{t/4}^{t}\int_U(1+|\nabla p|)^{s_2}dxdt\Big)^{s_3/2}+C\sup_{[t/4,t]}\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}. \quad (7.24)$$

Using (6.28) with $s=s_2$ and (5.38) we obtain

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le Ct^{-\frac{1+s_1}{2}}\Big\{t\cdot t^{-1-\tilde s_2\kappa_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{2(\tilde s_2z_3+1)}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{2\tilde s_2z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{2\tilde s_2}\Big(1+\int_0^tG_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big[C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big]\Big\}^{s_3/2}$$
$$+Ct^{-\mu_0}\mathcal{K}_2(t)\exp\Big[C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big].$$

Hence,

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C(t^{-\frac{1+s_1+\tilde s_2\kappa_1s_3}{2}}+t^{-\mu_0})(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{(\tilde s_2z_3+1)s_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\tilde s_2z_3s_3}$$
$$\cdot(\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{\tilde s_2s_3}+\mathcal{K}_2(t))\Big(1+\int_0^tG_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2}$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big[C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big]. \quad (7.25)$$

Concerning the power of t^{-1}, we note that $s_3,\tilde s_2\ge1$ and $\frac{\tilde s_2\kappa_1s_3}{2}\ge\frac{2\tilde s_2\mu_0s_3}{2}=\tilde s_2\mu_0s_3\ge\mu_0$. Then (7.21) follows (7.25).

(ii) Consider $t>3$. Applying (7.23) with $T_0=t-1$, $T=1$, $\theta=1/2$ yields

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\Big(1+\int_{t-3/4}^{t}\int_U|\nabla p|^{s_2}dxdt\Big)^{s_3/2}+C\sup_{[t-3/4,t]}\|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}. \quad (7.26)$$

Thanks to (6.29) with $s=s_2$, and (5.39), and also noting that $\sup_{[t-3/4,t]}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(\cdot)\le\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)$, we obtain

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\Big[(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{2\kappa_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{2\kappa_3}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{2\tilde s_2}\Big(1+\int_{t-2}^tG_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)}^{z_3})\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}\Big]^{s_3/2}$$
$$+C\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)\exp\Big\{C'(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-2,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}.$$

Then (7.22) follows. □

Combining (7.26) with Theorem 6.6, we have the following asymptotic estimates.

Theorem 7.3. (i) *If* $A(\alpha)<\infty$ *then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\mathcal{A}_1^{\kappa_3 s_3}\mathcal{A}_2^{\tilde{s}_2 s_3}\mathcal{G}_1^{s_3/2} \cdot\exp\Big\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times t-1,t)}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times t-1,t)}))^{z_2}\Big\}. \tag{7.27}$$

(ii) *If* $\beta(\alpha)<\infty$ *then there is* $T>0$ *such that for all* $t>T$,

$$\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{\kappa_3 s_3}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2 s_3}\Big(1+\int_{t-3/2}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2} \cdot\exp\Big\{C'\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}. \tag{7.28}$$

Proof. Taking the limit superior as $t\to\infty$ of (7.26), and using estimates (6.38) and (5.40) give

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\Big[&\mathcal{A}_1^{2\kappa_3}\mathcal{A}_2^{2\tilde{s}_2}\mathcal{G}_1\\ &\cdot\exp\Big\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}\Big]^{s_3/2}\\ &+C\mathcal{A}_2\exp\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then (7.27) follows.

(ii) Combining (7.26) with estimate (6.41) for $s=s_2$, and with estimate (5.41) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla p(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\Big\{&\sup_{[t-3/4,t]}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(\cdot)^{2\kappa_3}\sup_{[t-3/4,t]}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_2(\cdot)^{2\tilde{s}_2}\Big(1+\int_{t-7/4}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\ &\cdot\exp\{C'\sup_{[t-3/4,t]}\bar{\mathcal{K}}_1(\cdot)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-11/4,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-11/4,t))})^{z_2}\}\Big\}^{s_3/2}\\ &+C(1+\sup_{[t-7/4,t]}(\|\Psi_t\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{2}{2-a}}+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^\infty}^2+\|\nabla^2\Psi\|_{L^\infty}))\\ \cdot\exp\{C(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-2a}}&+\|A(\alpha,\cdot)\|_{L^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}(t-7/4,t)}^{\frac{1}{\alpha-a}})^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-7/4,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-7/4,t))})^{z_2}\}\end{aligned}$$

for all $t\ge T$ with some $T>3$. This leads to (7.28). □

8 L^∞-estimates for the time derivative

We now estimate the L^∞-norm of the pressure's time derivative. Let $p(x,t)$ be a solution of IBVP (3.1), and let $q=p_t$. Then

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t}=\nabla\cdot\big(K(|\nabla p|)\nabla p\big)_t. \tag{8.1}$$

Proposition 8.1. *If* $T_0\ge 0$, $T>0$ *and* $\theta\in(0,1)$, *then*

$$\sup_{[T_0+\theta T,T_0+T]}\|p_t\|_{L^\infty(U)}\le C\lambda^{\frac{s_1}{2}}(1+(\theta T)^{-1})^{\frac{s_1+1}{2}}\|p_t\|_{L^2(U\times(T_0+\theta T/2,T_0+T))}+\max_{\Gamma\times[T_0,T_0+T]}|\psi_t|, \tag{8.2}$$

where s_1 *and* $\lambda=\lambda(T_0,T,\theta)$ *are defined in Theorem 7.1, and constant* $C>0$ *is independent of* T_0, T, *and* θ.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume $T_0 = 0$. For $k \ge \max_{\Gamma\times[0,T]} |p_t| = \max_{\Gamma\times[0,T]} |\psi_t|$, let $q^{(k)} = \max\{q-k,0\}$ and $S_k(t) = \{x \in U : q(x,t) > k\}$, and $\chi_k(x,t)$ be the characteristic function of set $\{(x,t) \in U\times(0,T) : q(x,t) > k\}$. On $S_k(t)$, we have $(\nabla p)_t = \nabla q = \nabla q^{(k)}$.

Let $\zeta = \zeta(t)$ be the cut-off function satisfying $\zeta(0) = 0$. We will use test function $q^{(k)}\zeta^2$, noting that $\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta^2) = \zeta^2\nabla q^{(k)}$. Multiplying (8.1) by $q^{(k)}\zeta^2$ and integrating the resultant on U, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_U |q^{(k)}\zeta|^2dx = \int_U |q^{(k)}|^2\zeta\zeta_t dx - \int_U (K(|\nabla p|))_t\nabla p\cdot\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2dx - \int_U K(|\nabla p|)(\nabla p)_t\cdot\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2dx.$$

Note that $(\nabla p)_t\cdot\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2 = |\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta)|^2$. Taking into account (2.12),

$$|(K(|\nabla p|))_t\nabla p\cdot\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2| = |K'(|\nabla p|)|\frac{|\nabla p\cdot\nabla p_t|}{|\nabla p|}|\nabla p\cdot\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2| \le aK(|\nabla p|)|\nabla q||\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2|.$$

Note that $|\nabla q||\nabla q^{(k)}\zeta^2| = |\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta)|^2$. It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_U |q^{(k)}\zeta|^2dx + (1-a)\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta)|^2dx \le 2\int_U |q^{(k)}|^2\zeta|\zeta_t|dx.$$

Integrating this inequality from 0 to T, we obtain

$$\max_{[0,T]}\int_U |q^{(k)}\zeta|^2dx + \int_0^T\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta)|^2dxdt \le C\int_0^T\int_U |q^{(k)}|^2\zeta|\zeta_t|dxdt.$$

The last inequality uses the fact that function $K(\cdot)$ is bounded above. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $q^{(k)}\zeta$ with $W = K(|\nabla p|)$ and $\varrho = \nu_0$ defined by (7.12), we have

$$\|q^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^{\nu_0}(Q_T)} \le C\lambda^{1/\nu_0}\cdot\left\{\max_{[0,T]}\int_U |q^{(k)}\zeta|^2dx + \int_0^T\int_U K(|\nabla p|)|\nabla(q^{(k)}\zeta)|^2dxdt\right\}^{1/2}.$$

and hence,

$$\|q^{(k)}\zeta\|_{L^{\nu_0}(Q_T)} \le C\lambda^{1/\nu_0}\Big(\int_0^T\int_U |q^{(k)}|^2|\zeta_t|\zeta dxdt\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{8.3}$$

This is similar to inequality (7.14). Then by following arguments of Theorem 7.1 applied for $q^{(k)}$ instead of $u_m^{(k)}$, we obtain the estimate (8.2). We omit the details. ☐

In the following estimates of p_t we use the same notation as in sections 6 and 7, particularly, (6.24)–(6.27), (6.39), (6.40), (7.17)–(7.20), and also define new numbers

$$\kappa_4 = 1 + s_1 + \tilde{s}_2\kappa_1(s_3-1), \quad \kappa_5 = (\tilde{s}_2z_3+1)(s_3-1)+1,$$
$$\kappa_6 = (\tilde{s}_2z_3+\alpha/2)(s_3-1)+\alpha/2 = \kappa_3(s_3-1)+\alpha/2.$$

Theorem 8.2. (i) *If* $0 < t \le 3$ *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le Ct^{-\kappa_4/2}\Big(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha}\Big)^{\kappa_5}\Big(1+\int_U H(|\nabla p_0(x)|)dx\Big)^{1/2}\\ \cdot\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\tilde{s}_2(s_3-1)z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2(s_3-1)}\Big(1+\int_0^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2}\\ \cdot\exp\Big\{Ct^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}+\sup_{[0,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

(ii) *If* $t > 3$ *then*

$$\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{\kappa_6}\cdot \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\kappa_6}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde s_2(s_3-1)}\Big(1+\int_{t-2}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2}$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C'(1+\|\bar p_0\|^{z_3}_{L^\alpha(U)})\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}+\sup_{[t-1,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}. \tag{8.5}$$

Proof. (i) Let $t\in(0,3]$. Applying (8.2) with $T_0=0$, $T=t$ and $\theta=1/2$ gives

$$\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le C\lambda^{\frac{s_1}{2}} t^{-\frac{1+s_1}{2}}\|p_t\|_{L^2(U\times(0,t))}+\sup_{[0,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{8.6}$$

where λ is defined by (7.8). By Young's inequality, we have

$$\lambda^{s_1/2} = \Big(\int_{t/4}^t\int_U (1+|\nabla p|)^{\frac{as_0}{2-s_0}}dxd\tau\Big)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}} \le \Big(\int_{t/4}^t\int_U(1+|\nabla p|)^{s_2}dxd\tau\Big)^{\frac{\nu_1}{2}},$$

where $\nu_1 = (2-s_0)s_1/s_0 = s_3-1$. Combining with (6.28) for $s=\tilde s_2$ yields

$$\lambda^{s_1/2}\le C\Big[t\cdot t^{-1-\tilde s_2\kappa_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{2(\tilde s_2 z_3+1)}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{2\tilde s_2 z_3}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{2\tilde s_2}\Big(1+\int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}\Big]^{\nu_1/2}.$$

Combining this with (8.6) and (3.9) gives

$$\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le Ct^{-\frac{1+s_1+\tilde s_2\kappa_1\nu_1}{2}}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{(\tilde s_2 z_3+1)\nu_1}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\tilde s_2 z_3\nu_1}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{\tilde s_2\nu_1}\cdot\Big(1+\int_0^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{\nu_1/2}$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{C't^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}$$
$$\cdot\Big(\int_U[H(|\nabla p_0(x)|)+\bar p_0^2(x)]dx+\int_0^t G_3(\tau)d\tau+\int_0^t\int_U|\Psi_t(x,\tau)|^2dxd\tau\Big)^{1/2}+\sup_{[0,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le Ct^{-\kappa_4/2}\Big(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha}\Big)^{(\tilde s_2 z_3+1)\nu_1+1}\Big(1+\int_U H(|\nabla p_0(x)|)dx\Big)^{1/2}$$
$$\cdot\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\tilde s_2 z_3\nu_1}\mathcal{K}_2(t)^{\tilde s_2\nu_1}\Big(1+\int_0^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{(\nu_1+1)/2}$$
$$\cdot\exp\Big\{Ct^{-1/\delta_1}(1+\|\bar p_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3}\mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))}+\|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(0,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}+\sup_{[0,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Thus, we obtain (8.4).

(ii) Now consider $t>3$. Applying (8.2) with $T_0=t-1$, $T=1$ and $\theta=1/2$, we obtain

$$\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le C\lambda^{\frac{s_1}{2}}\|p_t\|_{L^2(U\times(t-3/4,t))}+\sup_{[t-1,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{8.7}$$

where $\lambda = \Big(\int_{t-3/4}^t \int_U (1+|\nabla p|)^{\frac{as_0}{2-s_0}} dxdt \Big)^{\frac{2-s_0}{s_0}}$. Then by Young's inequality and (6.29) for $s = \tilde{s}_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{s_1/2} &\le C\Big(\int_{t-1}^t \int_U (1+|\nabla p|)^{s_2} dxd\tau \Big)^{\nu_1/2} \\
&\le C(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{\kappa_3\nu_1} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\kappa_3\nu_1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2\nu_1} \cdot \Big(1 + \int_{t-2}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{\nu_1/2} \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\Big\{ C'(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2} \Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (8.7) and (3.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} &\le C(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{\kappa_3\nu_1} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\kappa_3\nu_1} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2\nu_1} \cdot \Big(1 + \int_{t-2}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{\nu_1/2} \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{ C'(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2} \Big\} \\
&\cdot \Big(1 + \int_U |\bar{p}_0(x)|^\alpha dx + [EnvA(\alpha,t)]^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}} + \int_{t-2}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau + \int_{t-2}^t \int_U |\Psi_t|^2 dxd\tau \Big)^{1/2} + \sup_{[t-1,t]} \|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the facts $G_1(t) \le G_3(t)$ and $\int_U |\Psi_t(x,t)|^2 dx \le G_3(t)$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} &\le C(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha})^{\kappa_3\nu_1+\alpha/2} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{\kappa_3\nu_1+\alpha/2} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2\nu_1} \Big(1 + \int_{t-2}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{\nu_1/2+1/2} \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{ C'(1+\|\bar{p}_0\|_{L^\alpha(U)})^{z_3} \mathcal{K}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))} + \|\Psi_t\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2} \Big\} + \sup_{[t-1,t]} \|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

and obtain (8.5). The proof is complete. □

For large time or asymptotic estimates, we have the following.

Theorem 8.3. (i) *If $A(\alpha) < \infty$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} &\le C \mathcal{A}_1^{\kappa_6} \mathcal{A}_2^{\tilde{s}_2(s_3-1)} \mathcal{G}_2^{s_3/2} \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{ C' \mathcal{A}_1^{z_3} (1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty} (\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))} + \|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2} \Big\} + \limsup_{t\to\infty} \|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)},
\end{aligned} \tag{8.8}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_2 = 1 + \limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_{t-1}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau$.

(ii) *If $\beta(\alpha) < \infty$ then there is $T > 0$ such that for all $t > T$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} &\le C \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{\kappa_6} \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{\tilde{s}_2(s_3-1)} \Big(1 + \int_{t-2}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{s_3/2} \\
&\cdot \exp\Big\{ C' \tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3} (1 + \|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))} + \|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2} \Big\} + \max_{[t-1,t]} \|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.9}$$

Proof. Again, let $\nu_1 = s_3 - 1$.

(i) Taking the limit superior of (8.7) as $t \to \infty$, and using (6.38) with $s = s_2$, and (3.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C\Big[&\mathcal{A}_1^{2\kappa_3}\mathcal{A}_2^{2\tilde{s}_2}\mathcal{G}_1\\ &\cdot \exp\Big\{C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}\Big]^{\nu_1/2}\\ &\cdot\Big(\mathcal{A}_1^\alpha+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t\int_U|\Psi_t(x,\tau)|^2dxd\tau\Big)^{1/2}+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned}$$

Simple manipulations yield

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} &\le C\mathcal{A}_1^{\kappa_3\nu_1+\alpha/2}\mathcal{A}_2^{\tilde{s}_2\nu_1}\mathcal{G}_1^{\nu_1/2}\cdot\Big(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau\Big)^{1/2}\\ \cdot\exp\Big\{&C'\mathcal{A}_1^{z_3}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}(\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-1,t))}))^{z_2}\Big\}+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

Since $\mathcal{G}_1 \le \mathcal{G}_2$, we have $\mathcal{G}_1^{\nu_1/2}(1+\limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_{t-1}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau)^{1/2} \le \mathcal{G}_2^{(s_3-1)/2}\mathcal{G}_2^{1/2} = \mathcal{G}_2^{s_3/2}$. Thus, inequality (8.8) follows (8.10).

(ii) Combining inequality (8.7) with estimate (6.41) for $s = \tilde{s}_2$ and estimate (3.15), we obtain for large t that

$$\begin{aligned}\|p_t(t)\|_{L^\infty(U)} \le C\Big[&\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{2\kappa_3}\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_2(t)^{2\tilde{s}_2}\Big(1+\int_{t-2}^t G_1(\tau)d\tau\Big)\\ &\cdot\exp\Big\{C'\tilde{\mathcal{K}}_1(t)^{z_3}(1+\|\nabla\Psi\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))}+\|\Psi_t(t)\|_{L^{\alpha q_1}(U\times(t-3,t))})^{z_2}\Big\}\Big]^{\nu_1/2}\\ &\cdot\Big(1+\beta(\alpha)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2a}}+\sup_{[t-1,t]}A(\alpha,\tau-1)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-a}}+\int_{t-2}^t G_3(\tau)d\tau+\int_{t-2}^t\int_U|\Psi_t(x,\tau)|^2dxd\tau\Big)^{1/2}\\ &+\max_{[t-1,t]}\|\psi_t\|_{L^\infty(\Gamma)}.\end{aligned}$$

Using similar calculations as in part (i) and the fact $G_1 \le G_3$, we obtain (8.9). ☐

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Akif Ibragimov and Alexander Nazarov for their helpful discussions. L. H. acknowledges the support by NSF grant DMS-1412796.

References

[1] E. Aulisa, L. Bloshanskaya, L. Hoang, and A. Ibragimov. Analysis of generalized Forchheimer flows of compressible fluids in porous media. *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(10):103102, 44, 2009.

[2] J. Bear. *Dynamics of Fluids in Porous Media.* American Elsevier Pub. Co., New York, 1972.

[3] H. Darcy. *Les Fontaines Publiques de la Ville de Dijon.* Dalmont, Paris, 1856.

[4] E. DiBenedetto. *Degenerate parabolic equations.* Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[5] L. Dung. Ultimately uniform boundedness of solutions and gradients for degenerate parabolic systems. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 39(2, Ser. A: Theory Methods):157–171, 2000.

[6] J. Dupuit. Mouvement de l'eau a travers le terrains permeables. *C. R. Hebd. Seances Acad. Sci.*, 45:92–96, 1857.

[7] P. Forchheimer. Wasserbewegung durch Boden. *Zeit. Ver. Deut. Ing.*, 45:1781–1788, 1901.

[8] P. Forchheimer. *Hydraulik*. Number Leipzig, Berlin, B. G. Teubner. 1930. 3rd edition.

[9] L. Hoang and A. Ibragimov. Structural stability of generalized Forchheimer equations for compressible fluids in porous media. *Nonlinearity*, 24(1):1–41, 2011.

[10] L. Hoang and A. Ibragimov. Qualitative Study of Generalized Forchheimer Flows with the Flux Boundary Condition. *Adv. Diff. Eq.*, 17(5–6):511–556, 2012.

[11] L. Hoang, A. Ibragimov, T. Kieu, and Z. Sobol. Stability of solutions to generalized Forchheimer equations of any degree. *J. Math. Sci.*, 2015. accepted.

[12] L. Hoang and T. Kieu. Interior estimates for generalized Forchheimer flows of slightly compressible fluids. 2014. submitted, preprint http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.6517.

[13] L. T. Hoang, T. T. Kieu, and T. V. Phan. Properties of generalized Forchheimer flows in porous media. *J. Math. Sci.*, 202(2):259–332, 2014.

[14] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural′ceva. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 23. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.

[15] G. M. Lieberman. *Second order parabolic differential equations*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.

[16] M. Muskat. *The flow of homogeneous fluids through porous media*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, inc., 1937.

[17] D. A. Nield and A. Bejan. *Convection in porous media*. Springer-Verlag, New York, fourth edition, 2013.

[18] F. Ragnedda, S. Vernier Piro, and V. Vespri. Asymptotic time behaviour for non-autonomous degenerate parabolic problems with forcing term. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(12):e2316–e2321, 2009.

[19] F. Ragnedda, S. Vernier Piro, and V. Vespri. Large time behaviour of solutions to a class of non-autonomous, degenerate parabolic equations. *Math. Ann.*, 348(4):779–795, 2010.

[20] G. R. Sell and Y. You. *Dynamics of evolutionary equations*, volume 143 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.

[21] B. Straughan. *Stability and wave motion in porous media*, volume 165 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, New York, 2008.

[22] M. D. Surnachëv. On improved estimates for parabolic equations with double degeneration. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 278(Differentsialnye Uravneniya i Dinamicheskie Sistemy):250–259, 2012.

[23] R. Temam. *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, volume 68 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1997.

[24] J. L. Vázquez. *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations*, volume 33 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006. Equations of porous medium type.

[25] J. L. Vázquez. *The porous medium equation*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2007. Mathematical theory.

[26] J. C. Ward. Turbulent flow in porous media. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division, Proc. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng.*, 90(HY5):1–12, 1964.